Little Flavor

Spacetime as a topological insulator Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 181807

DBK, Sichun Sun

Little Flavor: A model of weak-scale flavor physics Phys.Rev. D87 (2013) 125036 Sichun Sun, DBK, A. E. Nelson

Effective field theory for Little Flavor in preparation Dorota Grabowska, DBK



The three major flavor puzzles:

- 1. The big question: why 3 generations of quark and leptons?
 - new symmetries?
 - new dimensions?
 - new dynamics?
- 2. Why so much hierarchical structure in flavor parameters?
 - couplings: gauge ~ Higgs ~ top Yukawa ~ O(1)
 CP violating phase~O(1)
 - angles: $V_{us} \sim 2x10^{-1}$, $V_{cb} \sim 4x10^{-2}$, $V_{ub} \sim 2x10^{-3}$
 - ▶ masses: b/t~5x10⁻², c/t~10⁻², s/t~10⁻³, u/t ~ d/t ~ 10⁻⁵
- 3. What is the scale of flavor physics?
 - EW higgs sector, dark matter suggest new TeV physics
 - Absence of FCNC seems to suggest this new physics contains no new flavor structure.

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

• Hors d'oeuvres:

spacetime as a topological insulator and the origin of families... an interesting (?) failure to explain the number of families

• Main course:

A new framework for flavor physics in 4d

- new low scale flavor physics at a few TeV)
- small Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC) in a phenomenological model with realistic quark masses, CKM matrix
- unusual flavor/Higgs structure

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

- Hors d'oeuvres:
- 1. Why 3 generations of quark and leptons?

Spacetime as a topological insulator

Jackiw & Rebbi (1976): Odd spacetime dimensions: Dirac fermion has a massless chiral surface mode

DBK (1992), Jansen & Schmaltz (1992): Lattice version has **n**_f copies of chiral surface modes

 n_f changes discontinuously when Lagrangian parameters are varied continuously



David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}i\partial \!\!\!/ \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi + \frac{r}{2}\bar{\psi}\partial^{2}\psi$$
$$S(p)^{-1} = m + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left[i\gamma_{i}\frac{\sin p_{i}a}{a} + r\frac{(\cos ap_{i}-1)}{a^{2}}\right]$$

Momenta lie on a d-torus (Brouillion zone): $-\pi/a < p_i \le \pi/a$

Fermion propagator S(p) maps $T_d \Rightarrow S_d$, integer winding number = n_f

Number of zero modes changes when S(p) can have a pole for some Euclidian momentum: m/r=0,2,4...

n_f: ←0 1_L 4_R 6_L 4_R 1_L 0→

$$m/2r$$
 0 1 2 3 4 5

Goltermann, Jansen, DBK, PLB301, 219, (1992)

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

- Number of chiral surface modes result of topology of bulk fermion dispersion relation in <u>momentum</u> space
- Exactly the same physics subsequently discovered in CMT, called "topological insulators"
- Can 3 families of 4d fermions arise from a single family of 5d fermion through this mechanism?
 # families determined by coupling constant values?

Possible to engineer model in semi-infinite 5th dimension with 3 families of zeromodes:

Bulk dispersion relation:

$$iG^{-1}(p_{\mu}, p_5) = iZ_{\mu}(p)\gamma^{\mu} + iZ_5(p_5)\gamma^5 - \Sigma(p, p_5)_5$$

Z, Σ can be chosen so that there are three 4d chiral families on surface of 5d

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014



- Possible to construct model in semiinfinite 5th dimension with 3 families of zeromodes; **but**...
 - 1.can't have SM gauge fields live in noncompact extra dim
 - ...so compactify
 - 2.on compact manifold, find <u>vector-</u> <u>like</u> fermions instead of chiral
 - can be made chiral with chiral orbifold projection
 - 3. relies on UV physics:
 - topology in x depends on large-x behavior of fields
 - ⇒ topology in p depends on large p behavior of G⁻¹
 - Need UV completion to make sense..eg, deconstruction



David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Outcome of deconstructing the 5d model: obtain a type of moose (quiver) diagram that has 3 families built into it.



David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

• Main course:

Outcome of deconstruction lost the ability to explain 3 families, but it motivated looking at models that can tolerate a low scale for new flavor physics (Little Flavor)

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Some allowed dim 6 FCNC operators:

$$\frac{c_{sd}}{\Lambda^2} \left(\bar{s} \gamma_\mu d \right)^2$$

experimental constraints

$$\frac{c_{uc}}{\Lambda^2} \left(\bar{u} \gamma_\mu c \right)^2 \qquad \qquad \frac{c_{bd}}{\Lambda^2} \left(\bar{b} \gamma_\mu d \right)^2$$

• $\text{Im}[c_{sd}] = O(1) \Rightarrow \Lambda > O(10^4) \text{ TeV... } 10^5 \text{ x } M_Z!$

•
$$\operatorname{Re}[c_{sd}] = O(1) \Rightarrow \Lambda > O(10^3) \operatorname{TeV}$$

•
$$c_{uc} = O(1) \Rightarrow \Lambda > O(10^3) \text{ TeV}$$

•
$$c_{bd} = O(1) \Rightarrow \Lambda > O(10^2) \text{ TeV}$$

Reasonable conclusion: new flavor physics arises from very high energy scale physics.

Necessary conclusion? No: eg, Minimal Flavor Violation

Minimal Flavor Violation (Chivukula & Georgi, 1987)

- Yukawa couplings Y in SM explicitly break U(3)⁵ chiral symmetry: {Q, L, U*, D*, E*} x 3 families
- Assume that in the UV theory that Y are the only "spurions" that break U(3)⁵
- Then the U(3)⁵ transformation which diagonalizes Y to go to mass eigenstate basis will diagonalize all dim 6 operators as well...no FCNC

Other approaches to flavor: other chiral symmetries

- fermion mass matrices arise as products of various spurions that break some chiral flavor symmetry (eg, Froggatt Nielsen invoke a U(1) chiral symmetry which forbids fermion coupling to the Higgs)
- FCNC are not zero, but suppressed by small parameters related to small Yukawa couplings

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Little Flavor (restricted to quark sector):

- No approximate chiral flavor symmetry
- Sizes of masses controlled by an approximate SU(4) x U(3) symmetry
 - + U(3) is a vector-like flavor symmetry
 - SU(4) is a nonlinearly realized symmetry related to pseudo-Goldstone Boson nature of the Higgs
- FCNC is nonzero but can be acceptable
- Combines features of conventional flavor models (such as Froggatt-Nielsen) with Little Higgs

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

How flavor models typically work (e.g. Froggatt-Nielsen):

- Start with a large chiral flavor symmetry G that forbids fermion Yukawa couplings
- Include "sparse" spurions ε which break G ⇒ G' at 1st order in ε; G' ⇒
 G" at 2nd order in ε, ...
- Fermion Yukawa matrices are built up in a hierarchical way with multiple insertions of spurions

Problems:

- SM provides little clue to RH fermion flavor structure, not enough about LH...have to guess at textures, symmetries
- models tend to be rather complicated, not extremely predictive.

Pluses:

- same spurions can suppress FCNC
- flavor structure related to symmetry

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

How Little Higgs models work:

(Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Georgi (2001); Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Katz, Nelson (2002))

- Start with Higgs as a Goldstone boson of G/H, with scale f; h→h+f forbids Higgs potential (Kaplan, Georgi, 1984)
- Include "sparse" spurions $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ which break $G \Rightarrow G_{1,2}$, two different subgroups of G
- Both $G_{1,2}$ individually retain an exact shift symmetry for the Higgs, $h \rightarrow h+f$, but the $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ spurions break it when both are combined
- Higgs potential starts at order m² ∝ ε₁ x ε₂ f², typically at 2-loops for extra 1/(4π)⁴...so Higgs is much lighter ("littler") compared to scale of new physics *f* than naive naturalness estimates
- New physics can start at the few TeV scale
- New top partner at ~ 1 TeV to cancels quadratic contribution to Higgs mass²

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

The Little Flavor model (for quarks) Gauge symmetry:

- Nonlinear SU(4) x SU(4)/SU(4) Σ field lives on the link (scale $f \sim 1.5 \text{ TeV}$)
- Gauge group $G_w \times G_b = [SU(2) \times U(1)]^2 \subset SU(4) \times SU(4)$

★ $[SU(2) \times U(1)]^2$ broken to $[SU(2)\times U(1)]_{SM}$ by $<\Sigma> = 1$

- Σ contains two composite Higgs doublets H_u , H_d
 - ★ $[SU(2)xU(1)]_{SM}$ broken to $U(1)_{EM}$ by $\langle \Sigma \rangle = 1 + O(v/f), v \sim 10^2 \text{ GeV}$

gauge couplings $g_{1,w}$, $g_{2,w}$, $g_{1,b}$, $g_{2,b}$ related to SM couplings g, g' via two angles $\gamma_{1,2}$:

$$g_{1,w} = \frac{g'}{\cos \gamma_1}, \qquad g_{1,b} = \frac{g'}{\sin \gamma_1}, \qquad g_{2,w} = \frac{g}{\cos \gamma_2}, \qquad g_{2,b} = \frac{g}{\sin \gamma_2}$$
David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Embedding of $[SU(2) \times U(1)]^2 \supset SU(4)^2$:



Parametrization of the Σ field:

$$\Sigma_{H} = \exp\left[\begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{2} \\ f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Phi^{\dagger} \\ \Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \qquad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} H_{u}^{T} \\ H_{d}^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Sigma = \xi \Sigma_{H} \xi \qquad \xi = \exp\left[(i/2f) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\pi}' \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \eta/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\sigma} - \eta/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

- * π'^{\pm} , $\pi'^{0} = SU(2)$ triplet; eaten by heavy W', Z'
- π^{\pm} , π^{0} , $\eta = SU(2)$ singlets; π^{0} is eaten by heavy Z"
- + H_u , H_d = SM Higgs doublets

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Gauge boson masses:

Ignoring Higgs vev v:

$$M_{\gamma} = M_W = M_Z = 0$$

 $M_{W'} = M_{Z'} = \frac{gf}{\sin 2\gamma_2}, \qquad M_{Z''} = \frac{g'f}{\sin 2\gamma_1}$

For f=1.5 TeV, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \pi/8$: $M_{W'} = M_{Z'} = 1.4$ TeV, $M_{Z''} = 750$ GeV

Z', Z" will have to be leptophobic to not be ruled out

Including Higgs vev v:

- SM gauge bosons have conventional masses
- Exotic gauge boson masses receive O(v²/f²) corrections

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Fermions:

on the **black** site:

- + gauge group $G_b = SU(2) \times U(1)$
- + 3 copies of 4 **Dirac** fermions
- + transform as a 4 of SU(4)b

 $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ U \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ SU(2) doublet SU(2) singlets i=1,2,3

on the **white** site:

- + gauge group $G_w = SU(2) \times U(1)$
- + 3 copies of 4 Chiral fermions
- + incomplete multiplets of SU(4)w

$$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,L} \qquad \chi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ U \\ D \end{pmatrix}_{i,R} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{SU(2) doublet} \\ \text{SU(2) singlets} \\ i=1,2,3 \end{array}$$

 \sum

Gw

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Fermion mass and Yukawa interactions:

U(3) x SU(4) <u>symmetric</u> terms

$$\begin{array}{c} \chi & \psi \\ \hline G_w & \Sigma & G_b \\ \hline & & & \\ SU(2) \text{ doublet} \end{array}$$

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ U \\ D \end{pmatrix} \qquad \chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,L} \qquad \chi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ U \\ D \end{pmatrix}_{i,R} \qquad \text{SU(2) doublet}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm sym} = \overline{\psi} \left(i D - M \right) \psi + \overline{\chi} i D \chi + \lambda f \left(\overline{\chi} \Sigma \gamma_5 \psi + h.c. \right)$$

- Gives a common mass M~5 TeV to black Dirac fermions
- Σ (including Higgs) couples black Dirac fermions to white chiral fermions; f~ 1.5 TeV, λ =O(1).
- exact U(3) symmetry (acts on family index, not a chiral symmetry!)
- exact nonlinearly realized SU(4) symmetry (acts on black Dirac fermions and Σ)

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[M \bar{\Psi}_n \Psi_n + \lambda f \left(\bar{\psi}_{L,n} \Sigma \Psi_{R,n} - \bar{\Psi}_{L,n} \Sigma^{\dagger} \psi_{R,n} \right) \right]$$

Expand to give Higgs couplings:

$$i\sqrt{2}\,\lambda\left[\left((\bar{u}_{w,n},\bar{d}_{w,n})_L\Phi^{\dagger}\begin{pmatrix}U_{b,n}\\D_{b,n}\end{pmatrix}_R - (\bar{u}_{b,n},\bar{d}_{b,n})_L\Phi\begin{pmatrix}U_{w,n}\\D_{w,n}\end{pmatrix}_R\right)\right]$$
$$\Phi^{\dagger} = (H_u^*, H_d^*)$$

- Looks like a Higgs vev would give all fermions a mass...
- ...but not true: can rotate $\langle \Sigma \rangle \Rightarrow 1$ with SU(4) symmetry: then Higgs only has derivative couplings to fermions \Rightarrow at tree level Higgs looks like exact GB in L_{sym} and so no Yukawa term
- So: still have 3 massless chiral quark families after $[SU(2)xU(1)]_{SM} \Rightarrow U(1)_{em}$.

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Fermion mass and Yukawa interactions:

Introduce U(3) x SU(4) symmetry <u>breaking</u> terms in Dirac fermion masses

$$\mathcal{L}_{asym} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} \bar{\Psi}_{m,L} \left(M^u X_u + M^d X_d \right)_{mn} \Psi_{n,R} + h.c.$$

- Acts only on black-site Dirac fermions
- M^{u} , M^{d} break the U(3) symmetry $\Rightarrow U(1)_{B}$

$$M^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{11}^{u} & \mathcal{M}_{12}^{u} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{22}^{u} & 0\\ \mathcal{M}_{31}^{u} & 0 & \mathcal{M}_{33}^{u} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad M^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{11}^{d} & 0 & 0\\ \mathcal{M}_{21}^{d} & \mathcal{M}_{22}^{d} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{32}^{d} & \mathcal{M}_{33}^{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

Allows masses for Allows masses for ordinary families ordinary Higgs mechanism Little Higgs • X_u , X_d break the SU(4) symmetry \Rightarrow different SU(3) subgroups

$$X_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -3 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Peculiar symmetry structure ensures Little Higgs mechanism in the fermion sector:

If M is the full fermion mass matrix, then

- Tr M[†]M is independent of H vevs
- Tr (M[†]M)² is independent of H vevs

So there are neither <u>quadratic</u> nor <u>log</u> divergent contributions to the Higgs potential from fermions at one loop

There will be a finite Coleman-Weinberg contribution, Tr $(M^{\dagger}M)^2 \ln(M^{\dagger}M)$. To avoid fine tuning of the Higgs potential, there needs to be a Dirac toppartner at ~ 1 TeV (will see it in this model)

At this level there is a Peccei-Quinn symmetry protecting against flavor violating Higgs couplings...to be softly broken in Higgs potential

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014



The model also has heavy SM fermion partners:

bottom-like masses (TeV):

6.628, 6.456, 5.489, 5.486, 5.482, 5.482

top-like masses (TeV):



But what about FCNC?? First, look at Z, Z', Z" couplings

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Flavor dependence of neutral gauge boson couplings (Z, Z', Z")

 $M_{Z'} = 750 \text{ GeV}$, $M_{Z''} = 1400 \text{ GeV}$

 $|\mathcal{L}_{Z}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 2.6 \times 10^{-1} & 0 & 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \\ 0 & 2.6 \times 10^{-1} & 9.7 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.9 \times 10^{-6} & 9.7 \times 10^{-6} & 2.6 \times 10^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.1 \times 10^{-1} & 0 & 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \\ 0 & 1.1 \times 10^{-1} & 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2.3 \times 10^{-6} & 1.0 \times 10^{-5} & 1.1 \times 10^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$ $|\mathcal{L}_{Z}^{d}| = \begin{pmatrix} 3.2 \times 10^{-1} & 1.0 \times 10^{-6} & 5.0 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-6} & 3.2 \times 10^{-1} & 2.3 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{-6} & 2.3 \times 10^{-5} & 3.2 \times 10^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z}^{d}| = \begin{pmatrix} 5.5 \times 10^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.5 \times 10^{-2} & 3.6 \times 10^{-6} \\ 0 & 3.6 \times 10^{-6} & 5.5 \times 10^{-2} \end{pmatrix},$ $|\mathcal{L}_{Z'}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 2.6 \times 10^{-3} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2.6 \times 10^{-3} & 3.4 \times 10^{-5}\\ 0 & 3.4 \times 10^{-5} & 3.8 \times 10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z'}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.4 \times 10^{-2} & 0 & 4.0 \times 10^{-4}\\ 0 & 1.5 \times 10^{-2} & 1.7 \times 10^{-3}\\ 4.0 \times 10^{-4} & 1.7 \times 10^{-3} & 3.7 \times 10^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ $|\mathcal{L}_{Z'}^{d}| = \begin{pmatrix} 5.\times10^{-3} & 1.9\times10^{-5} & 8.9\times10^{-5} \\ 1.9\times10^{-5} & 4.9\times10^{-3} & 4.1\times10^{-4} \\ 8.9\times10^{-5} & 4.1\times10^{-4} & 3.7\times10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z'}^{d}| = \begin{pmatrix} 6.7\times10^{-3} & 0 & 2.6\times10^{-5} \\ 0 & 6.6\times10^{-3} & 2.0\times10^{-4} \\ 2.6\times10^{-5} & 2.0\times10^{-4} & 8.8\times10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$ $|\mathcal{L}_{Z''}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.9 \times 10^{-2} & 0 & 7.9 \times 10^{-5} \\ 0 & 1.9 \times 10^{-2} & 2.8 \times 10^{-4} \\ 7.9 \times 10^{-5} & 2.8 \times 10^{-4} & 2.9 \times 10^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z''}^{u}| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.4 \times 10^{-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.4 \times 10^{-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$ $|\mathcal{L}_{Z''}^d| = \begin{pmatrix} 2.0 \times 10^{-2} & 1.0 \times 10^{-4} & 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-4} & 1.9 \times 10^{-2} & 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{-4} & 2.3 \times 10^{-3} & 2.9 \times 10^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\mathcal{R}_{Z''}^d| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 \times 10^{-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6 \times 10^{-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9.7 \times 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$ David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Can read off $\Delta S = 2 \dim 6$ operators from Z, Z', Z" exchange:

$$\frac{1 \times 10^{-12}}{M_Z^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\left(10^5 \text{ TeV}\right)^2} , \qquad \frac{4 \times 10^{-10}}{M_{Z'}^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\left(4 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}\right)^2} , \qquad \frac{1 \times 10^{-8}}{M_{Z''}^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\left(1.3 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}\right)^2}$$

...all safe, even though:

- flavor physics is at the few TeV scale
- full theory does not have any approximate chiral flavor symmetry

Easy to show that dim 6 contact operators from above the cutoff $\Lambda \sim 4\pi f$ give tiny FCNC contributions (suppressed by spurions)

Numerical fit is not very informative... what does FCNC look like parametrically?

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

EFT analysis of Little Flavor (in preparation with Dorota Grabowska)

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\chi} i \not{D} \chi + \bar{\psi} (\not{D} - \mathbf{M}) \psi + \lambda f \bar{\chi} \Sigma \gamma_5 \psi$$
$$\mathbf{M} = M + \mathcal{M}_u X_u + \mathcal{M}_d X_d$$
$$\mathbf{5 \, TeV} \qquad \mathbf{expand in I/M}$$

Integrate out Dirac fermions ψ at tree level
 Not mass eigenstates...but that's OK!



• Left with EFT for the chiral fermions χ , 1:1 with SM quarks

$$\chi \xrightarrow{\psi} \chi$$

$$\chi \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Sigma}} \chi$$

$$\chi \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Sigma}} \chi$$

$$\chi \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Sigma}} \chi$$

Expand in powers of D, Φ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -i\bar{\chi} \left[\mathcal{D} + (\lambda f)^2 \mathcal{D} \left(\frac{P_-}{\mathbf{M}^{\dagger}\mathbf{M}} + \frac{P_+}{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^{\dagger}} \right) \right] \chi \cdot \cdot \mathbf{F.C. gauge couplings} \\ -2i\lambda^2 f \bar{\chi} \left[\Phi, \left(\frac{P_+}{\mathbf{M}} + \frac{P_-}{\mathbf{M}^{\dagger}} \right) \right] \chi \cdot \mathbf{P.C. gauge coupling to Higgs} \\ + O(D^2, \langle \Phi \rangle D, \langle \Phi \rangle^2) \right] \chi \cdot \mathbf{P.C. gauge coupling to Higgs} \\ + O(D^2, \langle \Phi \rangle D, \langle \Phi \rangle^2)$$

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Restricting to the 2 light families, we find

$$M_{\rm up}^{\rm SM} \simeq -\frac{4(\lambda f)^2}{M^2 + (\lambda f)^2} \frac{v_u}{f} \mathcal{M}_u , \qquad M_{\rm down}^{\rm SM} \simeq -\frac{4(\lambda f)^2}{M^2 + (\lambda f)^2} \frac{v_d}{f} \mathcal{M}_d ,$$

Assuming the texture:
$$\mathcal{M}_u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{u,11} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{u,22} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{M}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{d,11} & \mathcal{M}_{d,12} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{d,22} \end{pmatrix}$$

we can fit these five real parameters to m_u , m_d , m_c , m_s , $sin\theta_c$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{d,11} = \rho_d \, m_d \sec \theta_c \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_d}{m_s} \right)^2 \frac{\tan^2 \theta_c}{2} + \dots \right] \,, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{u,11} = \rho_u \, m_u \,,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{d,12} = \rho_d \, m_s \sin \theta_c \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_d}{m_s} \right)^2 \frac{1 + \sec^2 \theta_c}{2} + \dots \right] \,, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{u,22} = \rho_u \, m_c \,,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{d,22} = \rho_d \, m_s \cos \theta_c \left[1 + \left(\frac{m_d}{m_s} \right)^2 \frac{\tan^2 \theta_c}{2} + \dots \right] \,,$$

$$\rho_{u,d} \equiv \frac{M^2 + (\lambda f)^2}{4(\lambda f)^2} \frac{f}{v_{u,d}} \sim 13 \text{ for our parameters, } \mathbf{v_u} = \mathbf{v_d}$$

With this fit, mass diagonalization requires rotations of d quarks:

$$L_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{c} & \sin \theta_{c} \\ -\sin \theta_{c} & \cos \theta_{c} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{r} & \sin \theta_{r} \\ -\sin \theta_{r} & \cos \theta_{r} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sin \theta_{r} = \tan \theta_{c} \frac{m_{d}}{m_{s}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_{d}}{m_{s}} \right)^{2} \frac{\tan \theta_{c}^{2}}{2} + \dots \right] \qquad \text{Note: small angle}$$

Using the w.f. correction term & these rotations, can compute all of the flavor dependence in gauge boson couplings to linear order in the light Yukawa couplings...

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Ivine ~ Jan 27, 2014

For example: flavor couplings of the lighter Z" (~ 750 GeV):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{L,u}'' &= \frac{g'}{6} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_u} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\left(m_u + m_d \sec \theta_c\right) & m_s \sin \theta_c \\ m_s \sin \theta_c & 2\left(m_c + m_s \cos \theta_c\right) \end{array} \right) \right] \\ Z_{R,u}'' &= \frac{2g'}{3} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_u} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\left(m_d \sec \theta_c - 3m_u\right) & m_s \sin \theta_c \\ m_s \sin \theta_c & 2\left(m_s \cos \theta_c - 3m_c\right) \end{array} \right) \right] \\ Z_{L,d}'' &= \frac{g'}{6} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_d} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\cos \theta_c \left(m_u + m_d \cos \theta_c + m_c \tan \theta_c \sin \theta_c\right) & -\sin \theta_c \left(2m_c \cos \theta_c + m_s\right) \\ -\sin \theta_c \left(2m_c \cos \theta_c + m_s\right) & 2\cos \theta_c \left(m_s + m_c \cos \theta_c\right) \end{array} \right) \right] \\ Z_{R,d}'' &= -\frac{g'}{3} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_d} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\left(m_u - 3m_d \cos \theta_c\right) & 3\sin \theta_c \left(2m_d - m_s\right) - 2\frac{m_d}{m_s}m_c \tan \theta_c \\ 3\sin \theta_c \left(2m_d - m_s\right) - 2\frac{m_d}{m_s}m_c \tan \theta_c \end{array} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{\gamma} = \tan \gamma \frac{M^2 - f^2 \lambda^2 \cot^2 \gamma}{M^2 + f^2 \lambda^2} \simeq -0.06 \qquad \text{for:} \\ M=5 \text{ TeV} \\ f=1.5 \text{ TeV} \\ f=1.5 \text{ TeV} \\ \lambda=1.5 \\ \gamma=\pi/8 \end{cases}$$

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

$$Z_{L,d}'' = \frac{g'}{6} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_d} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\cos\theta_c \left(m_u + m_d\cos\theta_c + m_c\tan\theta_c\sin\theta_c\right) & -\sin\theta_c \left(2m_c\cos\theta_c + m_s\right) \\ -\sin\theta_c \left(2m_c\cos\theta_c + m_s\right) & 2\cos\theta_c \left(m_s + m_c\cos\theta_c\right) \end{array} \right) \right]$$

$$Z_{R,d}'' = -\frac{g'}{3} \beta_{\gamma_1} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{\gamma_1}}{v_d} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\left(m_u - 3m_d\cos\theta_c\right) & 3\sin\theta_c \left(2m_d - m_s\right) - 2\frac{m_d}{m_s}m_c\tan\theta_c \\ 3\sin\theta_c \left(2m_d - m_s\right) - 2\frac{m_d}{m_s}m_c\tan\theta_c \end{array} \right) \right]$$

$$\beta_{\gamma} = \tan \gamma \frac{M^2 - f^2 \lambda^2 \cot^2 \gamma}{M^2 + f^2 \lambda^2} \simeq -0.06$$

•...but not MFV

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

So what have you just seen?



- A model to explain how 3 families can arise from the dispersion relation of 5D fermions...but no satisfactory renormalizable formulation.
- A model with a novel set of flavor symmetries in a 4D theory
 - U(3) flavor symmetry explains hierarchies,
 - SU(4) symmetry on Dirac quarks + PGB nature of Higgs explains why quarks are light
 - flavor symmetries interplay with EW symmetry breaking
 - Natural flavor @ few TeV scale with very small FCNC

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014

Little Flavor pros:

- New flavor symmetry to explore: nonlinear, not chiral, connected to the Higgs
- Can try to build flavor models at the few TeV scale
- FCNC are suppressed enough, but possibly visible
- Extra EW gauge bosons to discover

Little Flavor cons:

- Radiative corrections to Yukawa couplings in simplest model raise light quark masses to ~ 100 MeV
- Little Higgs potential needs work -- sort of ugly, need to get rid of the η
- Needs leptons with Z', Z" being leptophobic
- Would like a more predictive framework for flavor

David B. Kaplan ~ U.C. Invine ~ Jan 27, 2014