Steady state free radical budgets and ozone photochemistry during TOPSE
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[1] A steady state model, constrained by a number of measured quantities, was used to derive peroxy radical levels for the conditions of the Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) campaign. The analysis is made using data collected aboard the NCAR/NSF C-130 aircraft from February through May 2000 at latitudes from 40° to 85° N, and at altitudes from the surface to 7.6 km. HO2 + RO2 radical concentrations were measured during the experiment, which are compared with model results over the domain of the study showing good agreement on the average. Average measurement/model ratios are 1.04 (σ = 0.73) and 0.96 (σ = 0.52) for the MLB and HLB, respectively. Budgets of total peroxy radical levels as well as of individual free radical members were constructed, which reveal interesting differences compared to studies at lower latitudes. The midlatitude part of the study region is a significant net source of ozone, while the high latitudes constitute a small net sink leading to the hypothesis that transport from the middle latitudes can explain the observed increase in ozone in the high latitudes. Radical reservoir species concentrations are modeled and compared with the observations. For most conditions, the model does a good job of reproducing the formaldehyde observations, but the peroxide observations are significantly less than steady state for this study. Photostationary state (PSS) derived total peroxy radical levels and NO/NO2 ratios are compared with the measurements and the model; PSS-derived results are higher than observations or the steady state model at low NO concentrations.
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1. Introduction

[2] The free radical chemistry of the troposphere has been a subject of scientific interest and investigation for several decades [Levy, 1971; Crutzen, 1971], and has undergone renewed scrutiny as reliable in situ measurements have been reported in the last 10 years or so [e.g., Mount, 1992; Brune et al., 1995; Cantrell et al., 1996a, 1997b, 2003; Wennberg et al., 1998; Holland et al., 1998; Mauldin et al., 1999; Reiner et al., 1999; Burkert et al., 2001; Creasey et al., 2001; Kanaya et al., 2001]. Much has been learned regarding the sources of HOx (= OH + HO2) and RO2 throughout the troposphere. New sources of radicals in the upper troposphere have been proposed and investigated, and the role of convection in transporting radical precursors has been studied [e.g., Leively and Crutzen, 1994; Singh et al., 1994; Jaegle et al., 1997, 2001; McKeen et al., 1997; Prather and Jacob, 1997; Wennberg et al., 1998; Cohan et al., 1999; Wang and Prinn, 2000]. The comparison of measurements of free radical concentrations with constrained models has led to improved understanding and uncovered gaps in mechanisms of free radical production, conversion and loss.
The Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) program was planned to examine the evolution of photochemistry and transport at northern mid to high latitudes during the winter-to-spring seasonal transition particularly as these processes relate to the seasonal increase in ozone concentrations that has been observed in the middle troposphere (E. Atlas, B. Ridley, and C. Cantrell, The Tropospheric Ocean Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) Experiment: Introduction, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2003, hereinafter referred to as Atlas et al., submitted manuscript, 2003). A component of this program was the C-130 aircraft operated by NCAR and the National Science Foundation. It was configured with a suite of instruments employed to measure components related to the ozone photochemical budget, and to determine the abundance of tracers of air mass origin in the stratosphere or the boundary layer. In addition, an enhanced ozonesonde network was deployed (J. Merrill, personal communication), and collaboration was established with a ground-based measurement campaign at Alert, Nunavut, Canada [e.g., Hopper et al., 1998], part of a series of experiments performed since the 1980s. Aircraft flights were conducted in seven deployments from early February to mid-May, with flights from Jefferson County Airport (in the Denver, Colorado, USA suburban area) to Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, to Churchill, Manitoba, to Thule, Greenland, and north. Latitudes from 39.85 to 85.12°N, and altitudes from the surface to 7.6 km were sampled.

One of the instruments on the aircraft platform was a 4-channel chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) system [Mauldin et al., 2003]. This instrument has been deployed in other aircraft missions including PEM-Tropics B [Mauldin et al., 2002]. It is composed of a common vacuum system containing the mass spectrometer components to which a variety of inlets with differing neutral and ion chemistries can be attached. For the TOPSE mission, there was a channel for the measurement of OH, H2SO4 and MSA (methane sulfonic acid) [Mauldin et al., 2003] a channel dedicated to the determination of HNO3 concentrations (M. A. Zondlo et al., Development and characterization of an airborne-based instrument used to measure nitric acid during the NASA TRACE-p field experiment, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2003), and one for measurement of HO2 and RO2 radicals [Cantrell et al., 2003]. Atlas et al. (submitted manuscript, 2003) provide a full description of the aircraft-based instrumentation deployed during TOPSE; species and parameters measured included CO, N2O, CH4, j-values, CH2O, peroxides, NOx, PAN, PPN thermally labile PANs, alkyl nitrates, NOy, O3 (in situ and remote via DIAL), nonmethane hydrocarbons, chlorinated hydrocarbons, soluble acidic gases, aerosols (in situ and remote), in addition to the radicals and sulfur gases listed above.

For the purposes of this discussion, the following families are defined. HOx is the sum of the OH and HO2 concentrations, HOx,ROy is defined to be the sum of HOx and ROy, where R is any organic group, and HOx is the sum of HO2,ROy and the radical reservoirs, namely (2 × H2O2) + (2 × CH2OH) + PAN + HONO + CH3O2NO2 + HO2NO2 (coefficients indicate number of radicals produced from photolysis).

Peroxy radicals are produced in the troposphere through a variety of photolytic and thermal processes. Reactions that convert radicals within the HO2,ROy family are usually rapid, and thus sources of OH, HO2 and RO2 contribute to this free radical family. This includes the photolysis of ozone in the ultraviolet-B spectral region (≤340 nm), followed by reaction of the O(1D) product with water vapor, which initially produces OH. O(1D) may also be quenched to ground-state oxygen atoms.

\[
O_3 + hv \rightarrow O(1D) + O_2 \tag{1}
\]

\[
O(1D) + M \rightarrow O(3P) + M \tag{2}
\]

\[
O(1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \tag{3}
\]

Other photolytic processes, such as formaldehyde photolysis also produce radicals; photolysis of formaldehyde can also produce H2 and CO.

\[
\text{CH}_2O + hv \rightarrow H + HCO \tag{4}
\]

In the troposphere, the atom and radical fragments, H and HCO, rapidly react with molecular oxygen to produce HO2.

Radicals are destroyed through radical-radical and radical-NO2 reactions. The reactions between radicals include the disproportionation reaction of HO2, which has bimolecular and termolecular channels that are enhanced by water vapor [see, e.g., Stockwell, 1995, and references therein].

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2(\text{M}, \text{H}_2\text{O}) \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \tag{5}
\]

Reaction between HO2 and RO2 (including CH3O2) produces hydroperoxides and other products, depending on the structure of the “R” group. There is evidence from laboratory studies [Elrod et al., 2001] that a channel in this reaction could exist leading to the production of an aldehyde (CH2O in the reaction of HO2 with CH3O2) (reaction (16)).

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{O}_2 \tag{6a}
\]

\[
\rightarrow R’\text{COOH} + \text{O}_3 \tag{6b}
\]

\[
\rightarrow R’\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \tag{6c}
\]

Many important tropospheric reactions involve the interconversion of radicals between OH, HO2, and RO2. Organic compounds are attacked by OH leading to HO2 or RO2 radicals.

\[
\text{OH} + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{R} + \text{O} \tag{7}
\]

[11] HO2 and RO2 react with NO to produce NO2 and other HO2,ROy radicals (including HO2 or other RO2 radicals).

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{8}
\]

\[
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{9a}
\]

\[
\text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow R’\text{CHO} + \text{HO}_2 \tag{10}
\]
Alkyl peroxy radicals also react with NO in a branch leading to a loss of HO2/RO2 (in addition to a conversion reaction (9a)). The fraction k09/k0 (where k0 is the sum of k09 and k0a) depends on the nature of the R group; the importance of this generally increases with the carbon number of the R group [Atkinson, 1994].

$$RO_2 + NO + M \rightarrow RONO_2 + M$$  (9b)

Depending on the structure of the RO radicals produced in reaction (9a), isomerization and/or decomposition could compete with reaction (10) to lead to fragments that produce other RO2 radicals.

Direct measurements of members of the HO2/RO2 family can provide tests of our understanding of tropospheric free radical chemistry. These are aided by measurements of the controlling species and reaction rate coefficients (including photolysis rate coefficients). From these latter measurements, radical budgets can be constructed. The HO2/RO2 and OH measurements are presented in detail elsewhere [Cantrell et al., 2003] [Mauldin et al., 2003]. Thus, the goal of this paper is to make use of a constrained steady state model to examine the free radical amounts and rates of key reactions in order to gain understanding of the factors that control the trends of photochemical activity in the study region during the winter-to-spring seasonal transition. Specifically, we will attempt to ascertain (1) differences in components of HO2/RO2 budgets between middle and high latitudes and the changes that occur with season, (2) a general picture of the role of free radicals in the source and sink terms of the ozone budget, (3) the ability of the photostationary state assumption to reproduce the HO2/RO2 levels and NO/NO2 ratios, and (4) the degree of agreement between peroxy and formaldehyde measurements and that expected from free radical chemistry, and the implications of these comparisons. The companion papers by Fried et al. [2003] will present such comparisons for CH2O in more detail, and the peroxy results are examined by Snow et al. [2003]. The comparisons between OH measurements and the results of the present steady state model are discussed in Mauldin et al., [2003].

2. Observations and Models

2.1. Peroxy Radical Measurements

Peroxy radicals were measured during TOPSE using the PerCIMS technique based on the chemical conversion of HO2 and RO2 into H2SO4, chemical ionization, and mass spectrometric detection [Eisele et al., 1996; Cantrell et al., 1997b, 2003; Reiner et al., 1999]. The measurement technique is described in detail elsewhere (G. D. Edwards et al., A chemical ionization mass spectrometer instrument for the measurement of tropospheric HO2 and RO2, in preparation for Analytical Chemistry, 2003). As are the TOPSE measured peroxy radical concentrations [Cantrell et al., 2003] and the TOPSE measured OH concentrations [Mauldin et al., 2003]. The basis of the peroxy radical measurements is the chemical conversion of radicals into gas-phase sulfuric acid (H2SO4) followed by chemi-ionization through reaction with NO3.

The chemical conversion takes place by the addition of NO and SO2 to the instrument inlet. Reagent ions are produced by passing gas-phase nitric acid (HNO3) over a radioactive Americum-241 source. The reagent and product ions are detected by selected ion mass spectrometry that includes several stages of differential pumping, mass filtering, and ion counting. Calibrations are performed using the quantitative photolysis of water vapor at 184.9 nm; a linear relationship exists between the HSO4/NO3 ion ratio and the peroxy radical concentration. Radical concentrations (HO2/RO2 and HO2) can be quantified with an estimated accuracy of ±35% at levels much greater than the detection limit. Typical lower limits of detection were 1 × 107 radicals-cm-3 in 1 minute, but were sometimes poorer due to degraded instrument performance from shorting of ion-directing lenses within the instrument inlet. Since average levels observed were in the low 108 cm-3 range, signal to noise ratios typically were greater than 10 for one-minute average results.

A summary of measured HO2/RO2 concentrations is presented in Figure 1, in which panel (a) shows a smoothed altitude-time profile for latitudes of 40–60 degrees north (midlatitude band, MLB), and panel (b) corresponds to measurements made at 60–85 degrees north (high latitude band, HLB). These latitude bands were selected using Churchill as an approximate separation point. The smoothing was performed using the SigmaPlot 2001 program (SPSS Inc.) which entailed generating a 25 by 25 data point matrix for each plot. Each point in the matrix is calculated from the weighted average of the nearest 10% of the raw data using the Cauchy density function. Note that the entire time and space (latitude-altitude) domain was not sampled (see Atlas et al., submitted manuscript, 2003).

Studies took place on days 35–40, 52–58, 65–68, 79–86, 93–102, 114–121 and 136–144 (groups of days represent each of the seven deployments) and peroxy radical measurements were performed for a fraction of the time available. The details of the measurement altitude and time coverage are discussed in Cantrell et al. [2003]. Data availability was good in the MLB except at altitudes greater that 6 km in the first deployment, at middle and lower altitudes during the third deployment, and at all altitudes during the fifth deployment; in the HLB, there was less data in the first three deployments at altitudes greater than 6 km, and from 1 to 4 km during the first, second, third and fifth deployments. For these locations and times described, there were less than 10 data points per bin, where the bins are defined as 1 km altitudes within a given deployment. Thus, the measurement-model comparisons during these periods are less meaningful than during the rest of the study. On the average, there are 20 to 40 measured points per altitude-deployment bin for the MLB, and 15 to 35 points per bin for the HLB. The averages are 50 and 75 points, respectively for the seventh deployment. The average numbers of steady state modeling points per bin are 85 to 110 for MLB, and 80 to 120 for the HLB. In the HLB on deployments 1 and 3, there are fewer points; the averages are 37 and 28 points per bin, respectively. Differences between the observations and the surfaces presented in Figure 1 were calculated. In the MLB, the absolute deviations of the observations from the surface average 34% (σ = 26%); absolute deviations for the HLB average 50% (σ = 35%). Deviations for the steady state model surfaces are similar, with the model deviations somewhat smaller than those for the measurements in the HLB.
Of the 12,103 one-minute time periods for which data could be potentially collected, measured HO and RO concentrations are available for 3599 of them (about 30% coverage), and HO2 concentrations are available for a very small fraction, in this first aircraft deployment. This compares with data coverage percentages of 94, 82, 72, 55, 42, 35, and 17% for NO2, O3, NOx, SO2, CH3O, propane, and OH, respectively. Data coverage is reduced because of calibrations and other instrumental maintenance, breakdowns, and also, in the case of the CIMS instrument, shutdown during takeoff and landing. More details on the data, including dependence on various variables are available in Cantrell et al. [2003].

It can be seen that peroxy radical levels increase with altitude to peak concentrations between 1 and 3 km and decrease at higher altitudes, increase with day of year, and, for a given altitude and day of year, the levels are lower at higher latitudes. In fact, it appears that the radical levels at the higher latitude band lag those at the lower latitude band by about 20–30 days. One can envision a “bloom” in...

Figure 1. TOPSE peroxy radical concentrations (a, b) measured, (c, d) calculated from steady state model at the coincident measurements, and (e, f) ratio of coincident measured to steady state concentrations, for the MLB (left) and HLB (right) regions.
Table 1. Chemical Mechanism Used for Steady State Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$ + h$\nu$ → O(D) + O$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$ + h$\nu$ → O(3P) + NO</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$O + h$\nu$ → H + HNO</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$O + h$\nu$ → H + CO</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + h$\nu$ → 2 OH</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$OONH + h$\nu$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$O + OH</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH$_2$)$_2$CO + h$\nu$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$ + CH$_2$CO</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$_2$NO$_2$ + h$\nu$ → HO$_2$ + NO$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$_2$NO$_2$ + h$\nu$ → OH + NO$_3$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$O$_2$NO$_2$ + h$\nu$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$O + NO$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN + h$\nu$ → O$_2$ + CO$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$CO + NO$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3P) + O$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1D) + O$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + H$_2$O$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$OOH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + HONO + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + NO$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + HO$_2$NO$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$O$_2$NO$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN + M + CH$_2$CO$_2$ + NO$_2$ + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CO + h$\nu$ + H + CO$_2$</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_4$ + M + C$_2$H$_5$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$ + M + C$_2$H$_5$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$ _ + M + C$_2$H$_5$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_2$ + M + C$_2$H$_5$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_2$O + M + C$_2$H$_5$OH + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_2$CO + NO$_2$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$CO + NO$_2$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$ + C$_2$H$_4$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$O + C$_2$H$_4$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$O$_2$ + C$_2$H$_4$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CH$_3$O$_3$ + C$_2$H$_4$ + M + M</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate constants listed as $a \cdot b \cdot exp(-efg/T)$, which should be read as $a \times b \times 10^{-efg/T}$.

Fast = rate is assumed to be very fast in the troposphere.

The alkyl radical fragments produced in OH plus NMHC reactions associate with oxygen rapidly to produce RO$_2$ radicals.

Reactions for which $k_a$ and $k_b$ are listed are evaluated by the Troe expression [see DeMore et al., 1997].

Reactions that list equilibrium constants calculated using this $K_{eq}$ value and the rate coefficient for the reverse reaction.


photochemical activity that proceeds northward in concert with the availability of solar radiation and radical precursors including water vapor [Klonecki and Levy, 1997; Yienger et al., 1999].

2.2. Steady State Model

[18] A simple numerical model was constructed based on the assumption of steady state for each member of the HO$_2$RO$_2$ family [Cantrell et al., 1996a]. For each radical (OH, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$), if the time derivative of the concentration is small compared to production and loss then this approximation is valid.

$$\frac{d[R]}{dt} = P - L[R] \approx 0$$ (11)

[19] Therefore, $P_R \approx L_R[R]$ and $[R]_{ss} \approx P_R/L_R$, where $P_R$ is the sum of the production rates of radical R, and $L_R$ is the sum of the pseudo-first-order loss rate coefficients of R. This method will lead to accurate estimates of R if the chemical mechanism is complete, rate coefficients are accurate and if $d[R]/dt$ is indeed small. The error in $[R]_{ss}$ due to the latter factor is approximately $d[R]/dtP_R$. For TOPSE, $P_R$ values were typically $10^5$ to $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. If R changed by $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ over 4 hours (say due to change in j-values over a diurnal cycle), then $d[R]/dt$ would be
7 × 10^7 cm^-3 s^-1, and the steady state [R] would be in error by 0.7–7% (depending on P_R). Uncertainties in steady state radical levels due to uncertainties in rate coefficients [DeMore et al., 1997; Sander et al., 2000] and measured concentrations of quantities used to constrain the equations (e.g., j-values, NO, O_3, CO, CH_4, etc.) were estimated using a Monte Carlo approach (as in the work of Cantrell et al. [1996b]) leading to 2-sigma uncertainty estimates in the calculated radical concentrations of about 41%. This estimate does not include systematic errors that would be caused by an incomplete mechanism, or inappropriate application of the steady state approximation. This approach, except in situations of, for example, rapidly changing solar intensity due to intermittent cloud cover, is expected to lead to a reasonable representation of atmospheric radical levels. The mechanism employed is summarized in Table 1. Measurements of the following species were used to constrain the calculations: pressure, temperature, H_2O vapor, NO, NO_2, O_3, CO, CH_4, H_2O_2, CH_3OOH, CH_2O, PAN, HNO_3, CH_3ONO_2, SO_2, DMS, ethane, ethene, propane, propene, i-butane, n-butane, i-pentane, n-pentane, isoprene, jO_3, jCH_3O, jH_2O_2, jCH_3OH, jacetone, jHONO, jHNO_3, jPAN, jCH_3ONO_2 and jNO_2 (for PSS calculations); H_2 was fixed at 550 ppbv; acetone was calculated from CO ([acetone, ppbv] = -126.8 + 6.12 [CO, ppbv] [McKeen et al., 1997]) based on measurements of Singh et al. [1994]. Carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations ranged from about 60 to 240 ppbv, yielding acetone concentrations ranging from 245 to 1320 pptv, within the range of values reported in the literature. Acetone makes little difference to free radical budgets in the present case, however, because photolysis of acetone is not an important radical source at the altitudes studied, nor is acetone an important OH reactant relative to CO and CH_4.

[20] Two separate calculations were performed. (1) The hydrocarbon reactivity toward OH is approximated by calculating a total equivalent CH_4 concentration corresponding to the sum of that for each measured organic compound (CH_4_eqiv = \sum [HC] k_i/k_{CH_4}, where k_i values refer to reaction with OH). Thus, three radical concentrations are derived, OH, HO_2 and CH_3O_2. (2) A steady state equation is derived for each peroxy radical corresponding to each measured organic compound. Thus, concentrations are derived for OH, HO_2 and each of the respective RO_2 (including CH_3O_2) radicals. For each of these calculations, peroxyacetic acid (HO_2NO_2) and methylperoxy nitrate (CH_3O_2NO_2) were also assumed to be in steady state and were calculated along with the free radicals. The assumption of steady state for these peroxyacids species may or may not be valid, but the inclusion of these processes does not greatly impact the radical levels. Steady state concentrations of reservoir and other species (CH_2O, H_2O_2, CH_3OOH, PAN, and HONO as well as O_3) were calculated separately.

The steady state radical concentrations and the measured concentrations that overlap the HO_2 measurements, but in general the agreement is quite good. The average ratios are 1.04 (+0.73) and 0.96 (+0.52) for the MLB and LHL, respectively. Noticeable differences include systematically high measurements in the early part of the campaign (higher in the MLB), which may be due to measurements near the detection limit (which have greater uncertainty), and systematically low values in the middle period (about days 80 to 120), possibly a result of lower data coverage. Except for the very highest values before day 60 in the MLB, the other differences are within the combined uncertainty of the measurements (+35%) and the steady state model (+41%).

3. Budgets of Peroxy Radicals

[22] We can examine the production and loss processes for individual species or for families from the model results. The importance of various processes in the formation and destruction of these groups will be examined. The rates of the various production and loss terms come from the steady state model constrained by measurements of relevant species. Notice that the production rates require no modeling to calculate, since they depend entirely on observed quantities. For example, the production rate of HO_2 from CH_2O photolysis is j_4[CH_2O]; both of these quantities were measured.

3.1. Sources of HO_2RO_x

[21] Radicals are produced by a variety of photolytic and thermal reactions with relative importance that varies depending on the concentrations of the corresponding precursor species, light levels, temperature and humidity. The important photolytic processes are reaction (3) (representing the radical forming process in the UV photolysis of ozone), reaction (4), and others such as the photolysis of peroxides (e.g., H_2O_2 and CH_3OOH) and carbonyl compounds (e.g., acetaldehyde and acetone). Several studies
have proposed separating HOxROx sources into primary and secondary [Wennberg et al., 1998; Jaegle et al., 1998, 2000, 2001; Crawford et al., 1999; Hauglustaine et al., 1999]. The argument is that one should separate radical sources that are independent of the local HOxROx concentration (primary) from those that are locally produced (secondary). Some species such as peroxides and CH2O fall into both categories (transported by convection from a region of higher productivity, for example, and locally produced). We begin by not separating these processes into primary and secondary, and therefore define HOxROx sources as the sum of rates of all of the source reactions.

[24] Panels (a) and (b) of Figure 2 show altitude-time profiles for the calculated total HOxROx source rate (PHOxROx) at the two latitude bands described earlier (MLB and HLB). We see very low rates in the early part of the measurement.

**Figure 2.** Altitude-time profiles of the total HOxROx source (a, b) calculated from observations, fraction of this source due to reaction of O(1D) with water vapor (c, d), and fraction due to photolysis of formaldehyde (e, f) for the MLB (left) and HLB (right) regions.
period that increase systematically with season. The HLB lags the MLB by 40–50 days at the surface, and more at higher altitudes. This summary plot does include variability due to cloud effects on solar radiation and the effect of the fact that measurements were performed at different times of day, since no filtering or correction for these effects was performed. It is assumed that the diurnal cycle is sampled sufficiently for these values to be representative of midday average values.

[25] How do the individual sources (calculated from measured quantities) contribute to the total HO$_2$RO$_x$ production rates? Panels (c) and (d) of Figure 2 show altitude-time profiles of the fraction of the total source contributed by O(1)D plus water vapor. Notice that the contribution from this reaction (often termed the most important source of HO$_2$RO$_x$ throughout the troposphere) is very small in the early part of the study period at all latitudes, and remains small through most of the study period at high latitudes. What then produces HO$_2$RO$_x$ here? Panels (e) and (f) of Figure 2 show the contributions from CH$_2$O photolysis (reaction (4)). H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis contributes about 10% in the MLB and 20% in the HLB of the total source, on the average. These two processes (j(CH$_2$O) and j(H$_2$O$_2$)) are significant sources at middle to high altitudes (>4 km) from the beginning of the study until about the end of April. Other less important sources include photolysis of CH$_3$OOH (about 10% of the total source) and photolysis of acetone (about 2–6% of the total source; acetone is estimated as described above). Here, only the primary production of radicals is considered from these latter two sources; the formaldehyde that is also produced is included in the contribution from CH$_2$O photolysis. Both of these latter processes contribute slightly more (up to 30% for photolysis of CH$_3$OOH, and up to 10% for photolysis of acetone) in the upper altitudes of the study region, with slightly larger roles at the higher latitudes (due to lower water vapor concentrations at the colder temperatures). Note that the rates of radical production from the photolysis of formaldehyde and the peroxides were calculated from the corresponding measured concentrations. Use of the steady state modeled concentrations of formaldehyde and the peroxides affects their fractional contributions as well as the levels of P$_{HO,RO}$. This is because the measured peroxides are well below their steady state values, on the average. There are instances when formaldehyde observations are above steady state [see Fried et al., 2003].

3.2. Sinks of HO$_2$RO$_x$

[26] Since the radicals are assumed to be in steady state, in the model, L$_{HO,RO}$ equals P$_{HO,RO}$. Reactions among the HO$_2$RO$_x$ family members and between HO$_2$RO$_x$ and NO$_x$ species (NO, NO$_2$ and HNO$_3$) act as sinks of radicals, although some sinks are temporary since reactive reservoir species are produced. Reactions (5) (HO$_2$ self reaction) and (6a)–(6c) (reaction between HO$_2$ and RO$_2$) account for most of the loss. Figure 3 shows the fractions these reactions contribute to the total loss for the two latitude bands. Reaction between HO$_2$ and RO$_2$, not including CH$_3$O$_2$ accounts for 10 to 15% of the HO$_2$RO$_x$ loss at midlatitudes; the contribution is larger in the early part of the study at high latitudes. Reactions between HO$_2$RO$_x$ and NO$_x$ species (e.g., NO, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$) that result in HO$_2$RO$_x$ loss contribute about 5–10% to the loss. For the conditions of this study, the HO$_2$ + OH reaction contributes around 4–10% to the HO$_2$ loss. RO$_2$ – RO$_2$ reactions are an insignificant component of L$_{HO,RO}$ during TOPSE (<0.1%) and indeed for most tropospheric conditions.

[27] The following section shows how P$_{HO,RO}$ and the measured HO$_2$RO$_x$ concentrations can be used to infer the apportioning of HO$_2$RO$_x$ between HO$_2$, CH$_3$O$_2$ and other RO$_2$. L$_{HO,RO}$ (and thus P$_{HO,RO}$) depends on (HO$_2$RO$_x$)$^2$ since the majority of the loss is due to reactions between HO$_2$RO$_x$ species. This assertion is obvious if the majority of the HO$_2$RO$_x$ were HO$_2$, but the conclusion is more subtle for HO$_2$RO$_x$ which is a mixture of HO$_2$, CH$_3$O$_2$, and RO$_2$. This proportionality is shown in equation (12). The ratio L$_{HO,RO}$/[HO$_2$RO$_x$]$^2$ depends linearly on the rate coefficients for reactions (5) and (6a)–(6c) (representing the reactions of RO$_2$ with NO, RO$_2$ with HO$_2$, and RO$_2$ with CH$_3$O$_2$), respectively, whose sum nearly equals unity (OH reaction). Using the steady state results, 1-F was fixed at 0.9 for the loss processes and the partitioning of HO$_2$RO$_x$ is presented in equation (12).

$$\frac{L_{HO,RO}}{[HO_2RO_x]^2} = \frac{2\alpha}{1-F} (k_0\alpha + k_0\beta + k_0\gamma)$$

[28] Where the k values are rate coefficients for the corresponding loss reactions (k$_0$ is the rate coefficient for reaction of HO$_2$ with CH$_3$O$_2$, and k$_0$ represents the weighted average rate coefficient for the reaction of all other RO$_2$ with HO$_2$); $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are the fractions of HO$_2$RO$_x$ corresponding to HO$_2$, CH$_3$O$_2$ and RO$_2$ (not CH$_3$O$_2$), respectively, whose sum nearly equals unity (OH member not accounted for, but is always a very small fraction of HO$_2$RO$_x$), and F is the fraction of HO$_2$RO$_x$ lost through HO$_2$RO$_x$ reactions with NO$_x$ species. So, the ratio L$_{HO,RO}$/[HO$_2$RO$_x$]$^2$ should depend on temperature, pressure, water vapor concentration (through the rate coefficient dependencies) and the radical fractions (which depend on relative abundance of trace gases and relative rates of pertinent processes). Equation (12) was solved for $\alpha$ using steady state or measured HO$_2$RO$_x$ concentrations for each data point; $\gamma$ was assumed to be 0.06 (the average value from the steady state results), 1-F was fixed at 0.9 for the HLB and 0.85 for the MLB, and $\beta$ was set to 1 – ($\alpha + \gamma$). Sensitivity tests were performed to estimate the robustness of $\alpha$-values determined by this method. Varying $\alpha$ by a factor of 1.35 about the mean value, changed the average difference between the left and right hand sides of equation (12) by 13 to 17%. Given the uncertainties in HO$_2$RO$_x$ and
LHO₂RO₂ estimates, the \( \alpha \)-values derived by this method have uncertainty factors of order 1.5.

Resulting average values of \( \alpha \) are shown in Table 2 using both steady state and measured HO₂RO₂. The results are also somewhat sensitive to the choice of \( k \)-values in equation (12). Using the recent evaluation of Tyndall et al. [2001], \( k_{60} \) is about 10% lower than Atkinson [1994] and Atkinson et al. [1992] for the TOPSE conditions, and if \( k(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{HO}_2) \) is used for \( k_{60} \), it is about 37% lower; this results in average values for \( \alpha \) that are somewhat larger than those determined using Atkinson et al. recommendations. There is scatter in individual \( \alpha \) values, due to scatter in the parameters used to derive them. Standard deviations of the means using the steady state HO₂RO₂ concentrations are 0.11 to 0.15; for the measured HO₂RO₂, they are 0.21 to 0.27. Thus, though the means calculated with steady state

**Figure 3.** Fractional contributions to LHO₂RO₂, as estimated from the observationally constrained steady state model, due to reaction between HO₂ and HO₂ (a, b), HO₂ and CH₃O₂ (c, d) and HO₂ and other RO₂ (not CH₃O₂) for the MLB (left) and HLB (right).
3.3. Budgets of Members of HOxROx

[30] Aspects of the partitioning of HOxROx species can be examined by analysis of the sources and sinks of OH, HO2, CH3O2 and RO2. The rates of the processes that form these individual family members include HOxROx sources as well as exchange reactions; the loss processes comprise HOxROx sinks along with other exchange reactions. In the following analysis, the fractional contribution of various reactions to the sources and sinks of the HOxROx members are presented, using constrained, steady state model derived quantities.

3.3.1. OH

[31] The sources of OH include the HOxROx source O(1D) + H2O, reactions of HO2 with NO and O3, and photolysis of peroxydes. For the MLB, on the average these sources constitute 17%, 42%, 33%, and 8% of the total, respectively. Clearly, an overwhelming majority (75%) of OH comes through HO2 (reaction with NO and O3) for these conditions. Although the HO2 + NO reaction is the largest source on the average, in the lowest 1 km HO2 + O3 becomes slightly larger. The fractional contribution of HO2 + NO to the sources shows a regular increase with NO concentration (10% at 1 pptv and 90% at 200 pptv), and also a decreasing role at a given NO concentration as the seasons progress due to the increase in O3 and temperature (at 10 pptv NO, it is about 55% in the first deployment and about 20% in the last one). The balance of sources are similar at higher latitudes (HLB), but because of the lower temperatures, the corresponding lower water concentration and lower NO concentrations, O(1D) + H2O becomes even less important (10%) and HO2 + O3 becomes more important (43%).

[32] Many reactions act as OH sinks, but the predominant one during TOPSE is OH + CO which, on the average, constitutes 63% of the loss in the MLB. The reaction of OH with CH4 is next, contributing 12% on the average, but decreasing markedly with altitude due to the large temperature dependence of the reaction rate coefficient. Reactions of OH with O3, CH3O, hydrocarbons, SO2, hydrogen, NOy species and the peroxides each contribute up to 5%, with an average total contribution to the OH sinks of 25%. The reaction of OH with CO is even a larger fraction of the OH sinks on the average at the higher latitudes (69%).

3.3.2. HO2

[33] Most HO2 is formed from reaction of OH with CO, CH2O, O3, H2O and H2, which contribute in total about 70% throughout the study region on the average (note some of these reactions do not produce HO2 directly, but the products react rapidly with O2 to produce HO2). The reaction of OH with CO is the most important (about 70% of the OH reactions). Photolysis processes (CH2O, CH3OOH) contribute 18% and 22% in the MLB and HLB, respectively. The balance is formed from the reaction of RO2 and CH3O2 with NO (12% and 9%, respectively).

[34] There are three nearly comparable processes that destroy HO2, namely reaction of HO2 with NO, with O3, and with HO2. Due to the changes in temperature and NO in the two latitude bands, their relative importance changes slightly. The HO2 + NO fractional contribution is larger than HO2 + O3 in the MLB (39% and 29%, respectively) while their relative roles switch in the HLB (32% and 36%, respectively). The HO2 + HO2 reaction contributes about 22% in both regions.

3.3.3. CH3O2 + RO2

[35] The most important sources of organic peroxy radicals, on the average, are the reactions of OH with methane (50–46%), other hydrocarbons (23–30%), and CH3OOH (14–11%) for the MLB and HLB, respectively. The estimated contribution from the photolysis of acetone, on the average, is 9% and 12% in the two latitude bands. Thermal decomposition and photolysis of PAN contribute about 2% on the average, although they can contribute up to 10% at low altitudes and middle latitudes where the temperature is higher. The thermal decomposition of methylperoxy nitrate (CH3O2NO2) is about 35% (on the average) of the total CH3O2 + RO2 production rate, but is exactly balanced by its production rate; thus, the chemistry of this species does not impact the organic peroxy radical concentrations, even though there can be a significant flux through it.

[36] The average contributions to the sources and sinks of these radicals in the two latitude bands are shown on Figure 4.

[37] Cycling of radicals is important in the TOPSE study region and at other locations in the troposphere. We can estimate the efficiency of cycling during TOPSE from the rates of cycling and the rates of termination for HOxROx. The cycling to termination rate ratio may be termed the...
Figure 4. Average fractional contributions of processes to sources (calculated from observations) and sinks (estimated from observationally constrained steady-state model) of HOx, ROx, members for the MLB (left graph of a pair) and the HLB (right graph of a pair).
chain length, since it describes the average number of propagation cycles a radical makes before removal. Since the individual radicals (OH, HO₂, etc.) as well as the HO₂ROₓ family are assumed to be in steady state, then the conversion rates from any member to any other are equal. Using the conversion rates of HO₂ to OH (estimated from the steady state model) for each data point yields average chain lengths and standard deviations of 2.2 (σ = 2.0) and 2.1 (σ = 1.2) for the MLB and HLB, respectively. There is scatter in these calculated chain lengths due to changes in rates of various processes with zenith angle, season, and trace gas concentrations. The chain lengths are generally smaller at larger j(O(1D)) values due either to seasonal or diurnal changes. Larger NO concentrations, larger P_HO₂ROₓ rates, and higher altitudes generally lead to larger chain lengths. Averages are 3.3 and 1.6 for the altitude band from 0.2 to 1 km in MLB and HLB, respectively. Corresponding values are 2.2 and 2.3 for altitudes greater than 6 km.

[33] The steady state model results can tell us something about the partitioning of members of the HO₂ROₓ family by looking at ratios of various species and the factors controlling these ratios.

### 3.3.4. HO₂/OH

[39] This steady state model calculated radical ratio ranges between about 80 and 800 with clear dependencies on altitude, latitude and deployment (season). The average ratios are higher at lower altitudes, at higher latitudes, and at earlier deployments. This is the result of temperature differences which affect the kinetics of conversion reactions, and differences in trace gas concentrations that affect the rates of exchange reactions. The altitudinal gradient (lower ratios at higher altitudes) is large in the earlier part of the study, and is much smaller by the end. A component of these trends is also reflected in the change in relative lifetime of these two species. The values approach those expected and calculated for tropical and midlatitudes, about 100, when photochemical activity is high. This ratio can be approximated by the simple formula in equation (13), which reproduces the steady state results quite well (although not perfectly).

\[
\frac{[\text{HO}_2]}{[\text{OH}]} = \frac{k_{\text{CO}}[\text{CO}] + k_{\text{CH}_2\text{O}}[\text{CH}_2\text{O}] + k_{\text{O}_3}[\text{O}_3] + k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + k_{\text{H}_2}[\text{H}_2]}{k_{\text{NO}}[\text{NO}] + k_{\text{O}_3}[\text{O}_3]} 
\]

### 3.3.5. HO₂/(HO₂ROₓ)

[41] Previous studies of the HO₂/OH ratio in the troposphere include Cantrell et al. [1996a], Stevens et al. [1997], Brune et al. [1998, 1999], Carslaw et al. [1999a, 1999b], Creasey et al. [2001], and Kanaya et al. [2001]. There have also been a number of studies in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere at altitudes greater than about 10 km [e.g., Cohen et al., 1994; Wennberg et al., 1994, 1998; Lanzendorf et al., 2001], where the observed ratios are 5 to 20. In the lower and middle troposphere, previously reported mean ratios range between 40 and 200, depending on altitude and NO level. Individual observations can be much higher or lower. The average of these reported means is 95 for an average NO of 50 pptv. Reported model ratios tend to be higher, sometimes by large factors [Stevens et al., 1997; Tan et al., 1998].

[42] For TOPSE, average modeled ratios are within a few percent of average measurement-derived ratios for overlapping conditions. The estimated uncertainty in the steady state model calculated HO₂/OH ratios is 43% (2σ); the uncertainty in the measurement-derived ratios is estimated to be 70% (2σ).

### 3.3.6. CH₃O₂/(HO₂ROₓ)

[44] Methyl peroxy radicals are calculated from the steady state model to be the most abundant RO₂ during
TOPSE accounting for 23% of HO₂ROₓ on the average in both latitude bands.

3.3.7. RO₂ (Not CH₃O₂)/(HO₂ROₓ)

[45] Organic peroxy radicals (other than CH₃O₂) are calculated from the steady state model to contribute a fairly small fraction to HO₂ROₓ during TOPSE, averaging 0.06 in both latitude bands. The apportioning among the various types of RO₂ was about the same in the MLB and HLB. On the average, acetylperoxy radicals are calculated to have the largest contribution (18% of RO₂, not CH₃O₂). Next, in order of average concentration were the peroxy radicals derived from propene, propane, isoprene, ethane, ethyne and n-butane with percentages of 17, 12, 12, 9, 8, and 7, respectively. The peroxy radicals derived from acetone, i-pentane, n-pentane contribute 2 to 4% on the average.

3.4. Comparison of Equivalent Methane to Explicit Calculations

[46] As described above, two parallel steady state calculations were performed which either accounted for hydrocarbon reactivity as equivalent methane, or followed the reaction of OH with each hydrocarbon. The average ratios of the first to the second calculation for OH, HO₂ and CH₃O₂ are 0.90, 0.88, and 0.92, respectively, with 1 sigma standard deviations of the means of about 0.1. There are a few individual calculations, however, which show significant differences. For most purposes, the equivalent methane approach is sufficiently accurate to understand overall tropospheric peroxy radical behavior in a more straightforward manner than the full steady state calculation. It might also be possible to parameterize the HO₂ROₓ loss processes (for example, use a weighted average of the HO₂ → RO₂ loss rate coefficients that accounts for the presence of different RO₂ radicals) to improve the performance of the equivalent methane approach, but this has not been done here. In the absence of nonmethane hydrocarbons, the two approaches yield identical results. The equivalent methane approach is an attempt to account for the enhanced production of peroxy radicals from high Sun (25 degrees SZA) for the MLB, average lifetimes are 9.5, 16 and 2.2 hours for H₂O₂, CH₃OOH, and CH₂O, respectively. At low Sun (85 degrees SZA), the lifetimes extend up to 320, 560 and 85 hours. These lifetimes provide constraints on the degree to which heterogeneous processes can affect the concentrations of these species. They also indicate when measurements of these species could be expected to agree with steady state calculations (i.e., when lifetimes are short compared to time since emission or characteristic lifetime toward heterogeneous loss). One might expect measurement-model differences to increase with the gas-phase lifetime if processes unaccounted in the model were operative. There is no strong indication of such a relationship for peroxy or formaldehyde. Formaldehyde does show a systematic difference between the measurements and the steady state model at very low temperatures, which usually occur at high altitudes and high latitudes [Fried et al., 2003]. The measurements are greater than the steady state model at temperatures less than about ~45°C. It appears that other formaldehyde sources, or a change in the known kinetic processes, are operative for these conditions. [47] There are significant differences between the measured and steady state modeled peroxy radicals. Average measurement/model ratios are 0.41 and 0.78 for H₂O₂ and CH₃OOH in the MLB, respectively; corresponding values in the HLB are 0.42 and 0.68. The ratios show no dependence on temperature, zenith angle or lifetime of the respective peroxy, although the ratios are lower at the lowest steady state concentrations (approximately ~200 ppbv). The measurement-model differences can be roughly explained by the incorporation of a first-order loss of the peroxy that averages 0.1 and 0.02 hr⁻¹ for H₂O₂ and CH₃OOH, respectively; there is a slight reduction in the value needed at higher altitudes. A loss process such as this has been employed in previous studies and is described as the probable loss of peroxy by interaction of air masses with clouds or ice particles [O'Sullivan et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000]. For PEM-Tropics A and B, Olson et al. [2001] report that measurements and models agree sometimes, while the model tends to over predict peroxy at low altitudes (<2 km), and the model is less than the observations at high altitudes (>6 km) on the average. The high altitude (>8 km) peroxy observations in SONEX [Jaegle et al., 2001] were significantly above model estimates. Detailed modeling studies attempting to understand the impact of the interaction of peroxy (and other trace gases) with clouds have been reported [e.g., Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Kim et al., 2002]; recent studies indicate that the perturbation and recovery of peroxy concentrations due to encounters with clouds is complex depending, among other parameters, on the time of day and NOₓ levels present. Several studies ...

3.5. Radical Reservoirs

[47] Many of the products of HO₂ROₓ loss reactions are, in fact, temporary radical reservoirs that can act as radical sources. This is noticeable if these reservoir species are formed in an area of high photochemical activity (high radical production rate) and transported to areas of lower activity (e.g., by convective transport from the boundary layer to higher altitudes, or by transport from urban to more remote areas) in that photolysis of these reservoir species can then play a proportionately greater role than would be at photochemical equilibrium. The effectiveness of these transport processes depends on the lifetime of these reservoir species, and perhaps also their solubility in cloud droplets and/or reactivity on aerosol surfaces that would result in loss along the transport trajectory. Important reservoir species include peroxydes (H₂O₂, CH₃OOH and ROOH), peroxy nitrates (HONO₂, CH₃ONO₂ and PAN) and carbonyl compounds (CH₂O and others). Carbonyl compounds can have sources other than tropospheric photochemistry (e.g., biogenic emissions), and are formed from reactions in the atmosphere not initiated by free radicals, such as between ozone and alkenes. The overall role of these species in atmospheric chemistry is a complex one beyond the scope of this paper. We seek here to generally understand their importance to tropospheric free radical chemistry in the context of TOPSE. [48] The gas-phase lifetimes of the peroxydes and formaldehyde are governed by loss due to photolysis and reaction with OH, with rates that depend on solar intensity as modulated by solar zenith angle (SZA) and clouds. At high Sun (25 degrees SZA) for the MLB, average lifetimes are 9.5, 16 and 2.2 hours for H₂O₂, CH₃OOH, and CH₂O, respectively. At low Sun (85 degrees SZA), the lifetimes extend up to 320, 560 and 85 hours. These lifetimes provide constraints on the degree to which heterogeneous processes can affect the concentrations of these species. They also indicate when measurements of these species could be expected to agree with steady state calculations (i.e., when lifetimes are short compared to time since emission or characteristic lifetime toward heterogeneous loss). One might expect measurement-model differences to increase with the gas-phase lifetime if processes unaccounted in the model were operative. There is no strong indication of such a relationship for peroxy or formaldehyde. Formaldehyde does show a systematic difference between the measurements and the steady state model at very low temperatures, which usually occur at high altitudes and high latitudes [Fried et al., 2003]. The measurements are greater than the steady state model at temperatures less than about ~45°C. It appears that other formaldehyde sources, or a change in the known kinetic processes, are operative for these conditions. [49] There are significant differences between the measured and steady state modeled peroxy radicals. Average measurement/model ratios are 0.41 and 0.78 for H₂O₂ and CH₃OOH in the MLB, respectively; corresponding values in the HLB are 0.42 and 0.68. The ratios show no dependence on temperature, zenith angle or lifetime of the respective peroxy, although the ratios are lower at the lowest steady state concentrations (approximately ~200 ppbv). The measurement-model differences can be roughly explained by the incorporation of a first-order loss of the peroxy that averages 0.1 and 0.02 hr⁻¹ for H₂O₂ and CH₃OOH, respectively; there is a slight reduction in the value needed at higher altitudes. A loss process such as this has been employed in previous studies and is described as the probable loss of peroxy by interaction of air masses with clouds or ice particles [O'Sullivan et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000]. For PEM-Tropics A and B, Olson et al. [2001] report that measurements and models agree sometimes, while the model tends to over predict peroxy at low altitudes (<2 km), and the model is less than the observations at high altitudes (>6 km) on the average. The high altitude (>8 km) peroxy observations in SONEX [Jaegle et al., 2001] were significantly above model estimates. Detailed modeling studies attempting to understand the impact of the interaction of peroxy (and other trace gases) with clouds have been reported [e.g., Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Kim et al., 2002]; recent studies indicate that the perturbation and recovery of peroxy concentrations due to encounters with clouds is complex depending, among other parameters, on the time of day and NOₓ levels present. Several studies ...
[e.g., Cohan et al., 1999; Mari et al., 2000] have described how deep convective clouds could enhance the concentrations of peroxides and formaldehyde in the outflow, consistent with some high altitude observations. While loss rates such as those calculated here are consistent with known rates of cloud encounters, one would expect a high degree of variability in rate required, and indeed sometimes there should be agreement between the measurements and model (e.g., if several lifetimes has passed since the last cloud encounter). It has also been suggested that reaction of HO2 on aqueous particles produces H2O2 [Jacob, 2000], which if included in present calculations would make the measurement-model differences even greater.

[55] The effect of using steady state versus measured peroxide and formaldehyde concentrations on the calculated HO2RO2 levels was also examined. Using steady state formaldehyde decreases the modeled HO2RO2 at low temperatures to levels below the observations, supporting the enhanced formaldehyde levels observed; using steady state peroxides increases the model radical levels, particularly at temperatures greater than about −20°C, making the measurement-model agreement poorer. These observations indicate that measured peroxides and formaldehyde are more consistent with the peroxy radical observations than calculated peroxides and formaldehyde.

[54] For temperatures greater than about −20 to −30°C, HO2NO2 and CH3O2NO2 have lifetimes short enough (less than 1 hour, and approaching seconds to minutes at the highest temperatures) that their concentrations do not build up appreciably (calculated average concentrations of a few pptv or less). At lower temperatures (≪−30°C), lifetimes can reach 10 hours and thus the calculated concentrations can be significant. At ≈50°C, calculated HO2NO2 concentrations average 220 and 74 pptv (MLB and HLB, respectively) and CH3O2NO2 concentrations average 70 and 27 pptv. These are large enough to impact the NOx budget (F. Fleck et al., submitted). The behavior of PAN and PPN and the budget of odd nitrogen during TOPSE, manuscript in preparation for Journal of Geophysical Research, 2003). At temperatures near −50°C, the calculated sum of the concentrations of HO2NO2 and CH3O2NO2 are 20 to 80% of measured NOx. More detailed laboratory studies are required to assess the accuracy of kinetic data for the formation and destruction of these species used to calculate their concentrations. Current recommendations [DeMore et al., 1997; Sander et al., 2000] indicate very large uncertainties in these parameters (factors of 2 and 5 in the unimolecular decomposition rate coefficients). In addition, spectroscopic studies to carefully quantify photolytic processes in the ultraviolet, visible and near-infrared spectral regions are also needed to verify those that have been performed [Zhang et al., 2000]; more recent studies do indicate that near infrared photolysis of HO2NO2 is indeed important [Roehl et al., 2002]. These uncertainties lead directly to uncertainties in calculated concentrations of these species. For the present calculations, the j-value for photolysis of HO2NO2 was assumed to be one-half that of H2O2 plus a constant value of 1.5 × 10−8 s−1 during daylight hours (slightly larger than the recent Roehl et al. [2002] results indicate); the latter is to account for overtone absorption in the red and near-infrared regions. Also, the ultraviolet absorption of CH3O2NO2 is similar to HO2NO2 and even though there is no specific evidence for the overtone absorption, the total j-value for CH3O2NO2 was assumed to be the same as that for HO2NO2 in most calculations.

[53] The PAN lifetime is about an hour at 20°C. PAN lifetimes depend on a number of factors including temperature, zenith angle, and the NO2/NO ratio. The lifetimes range from a few hours at high Sun and warmer temperatures, to more than 105 hours (>11 years) at low Sun and the coldest temperatures. At lower temperatures, the lifetime is long enough that the steady state assumption applied here to calculate its concentrations is no longer valid. The ratio of the steady state concentration of PAN to the measured concentration varies systematically with temperature. At −50°C, the average ratios are 2.0 and 1.9 (MLB and HLB, respectively), and at −20°C, they are 0.8 and 1.3. Steady state PAN concentrations are slightly lower than the measurements for PAN lifetimes up to 500–1000 h, and are higher than the measurements for longer lifetimes.

[51] The concentration of other nitrogen species, such as nitrous acid (HONO), can be calculated using the steady state assumption, but here these calculations have not taken into account possible heterogeneous or multiphase processes (e.g., surface emission or deposition, transformation on or within aerosols). The calculated concentrations are quite small, averaging 0.2 and 0.02 pptv in the MLB and HLB, respectively. These concentrations are too small to significantly impact the HO2RO2 budget. However, if there are other sources operative that could maintain higher steady state concentrations (e.g., in the Arctic boundary layer), photolysis of HONO could be an important source of HO2RO2.

[54] It is clearly important to resolve the reasons for these differences between measured and modeled radical reservoir concentrations in order to gain quantitative understanding of tropospheric HO2RO2 behavior.

4. Ozone Photochemistry

[55] Several studies have examined the various photochemical and transport processes which govern tropospheric ozone [e.g., Liu et al., 1992; Anderson et al., 1994; Roelofs and Lelieveld, 1995; Mauser et al., 1996; Klonecki and Levy, 1997; Yienger et al., 1999; Kleinman, 2000]. These studies concur that enhancement of tropospheric ozone results from in situ production and transport of ozone and precursors from source regions (populated midlatitude regions and area of biomass burning). Yienger et al. [1999] explain the springtime increase in ozone as a byproduct of the atmospheric processes removing NOx that is built up over winter months. Klonecki and Levy [1997] propose that current ozone levels are controlled about equally by photochemistry and transport, in contrast with preindustrial times in which transport was dominant. Mauser et al. conclude that in summer at remote northern high latitudes from 0 to 6 km, in situ production constitutes about 2/3 of the gross production which is slightly exceeded by gross photochemical loss, that influx from the upper troposphere constitutes about 1/3 of the gross production which is balanced by surface deposition, and that influx of ozone from mid latitudes amounts to about 10% of the gross production. Following is a comparison of these conclusions with information gathered during TOPSE. In this discussion, measurements of trace gases are used to calculate rates
4.1. Sources and Sinks of Ozone

The peroxy radicals are crucial players in the formation and destruction of ozone in the troposphere via gas-phase chemistry. Oxidation of NO by peroxy radicals (reactions (8) and (9a)) comprises the main photochemical source of ozone. This is because of the rapid steady state relationship set up between NO, NO₂ and O₃ [Cadle and Johnston, 1952; Leighton, 1961].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NO}_2 + \text{hv} & \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}^{(3P)} \quad (14) \\
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (15) \\
\text{O}^{(3P)} + \text{O}_2(M) & \rightarrow \text{O}_3 \quad (16)
\end{align*}
\]
This chemistry (reactions (14) through (16)) does not produce ozone, but when NO is oxidized by peroxy radicals (and by any other oxidants except ozone), then ozone is formed because the NO₂ product is later photolyzed, followed by combination of the ground state oxygen atoms with molecular oxygen (reactions (14) and (16)). The rate of reaction of peroxy radicals (from steady state model results) with NO (measured, see Ridley et al. [2003]) increased systematically during TOPSE (Figure 5, panels (a) and (b)), with the HLB lagging the MLB by about 100 days in the middle troposphere. The most important source of ozone is the reaction of HO₂ with NO (Figure 5, panels (c) and (d)), accounting for 76% on the average in both latitude bands. Ozone is destroyed photochemically as well. The important

Figure 6. Trends in observed ozone versus altitude and day of year for the MLB (a) and the HLB (b); calculated instantaneous lifetimes of ozone versus zenith angle colored by day of year (violet is at the beginning of TOPSE, red is at the end) for the MLB (c); differences between ozone predicted by equation (18) and measured that have been averaged by deployment into 1 km altitude bins for the MLB (d); calculated net transport of ozone for the MLB (e); net transport of ozone versus altitude for the two latitude bands (f).
processes include reaction of ozone with OH and HO_2, and photolysis of ozone in the UV-B region (Hartley and Huggins bands) followed by reaction of the O(1D) product with water vapor; quenching of O(1D) by N_2 and O_2 (reaction (2)) does not destroy O_3. Photolysis of ozone in the visible spectral region (Chappius band) also does not destroy ozone since only ground state oxygen atoms are produced. The reaction of HO_2 with O_3 (rate calculated using steady state HO_2 and measured O_3) is the most important sink of ozone, accounting for 67% and 80% on the average in the MLB and HLB; its importance decreases slightly as the campaign progressed due to the increasing importance of ozone photolysis. Contributions averaged 78% and 93% at the beginning of the campaign, and at the end they averaged 56% and 70% for the MLB and HLB, respectively.

[59] The net photochemical production of ozone (P_{O3} - L_{O3}) during TOPSE is summarized in panels (c) and (f) of Figure 5. Plotted is the difference between the production and destruction rates (calculated using measured species when available and radical species from the steady state model) versus day of year and altitude at the two latitude bands. At midlatitudes, photochemical ozone production exceeds destruction throughout the domain, on the average, and increases through the measurement period. At high latitudes, production and destruction are closely in balance, but there is a slight increase in the net tendency. This can be compared with the observed ozone (Figure 6, panels (a) and (b)). Can net photochemical production explain the observed ozone trend? The midtroposphere (2–5 km) daily averaged ozone trend is 0.08 ppb/day for the MLB, and 0.17 ppb/day for the HLB, through the TOPSE study time period. Net ozone production is positive and increasing throughout the daytime), and that these rates are representative (not necessarily constant) of the period between deployments. These assumptions do add uncertainty to these conclusions; the calculated O_3 changes ([O_3]_t - [O_3]_o) carry estimated uncertainties of a factor of two. The differences between the predicted (equation (18)) and measured O_3 concentrations are compared in Figure 6 (panel (d)) for the MLB. It is assumed that positive differences (calculated ozone change greater than observed) indicate a net production force is still in effect (P_{O3} > L_{O3}), and that ozone could be exported (thus a negative net transport term). Negative differences (calculated ozone change less than observed) are assumed to indicate net destruction which must be balanced by import of ozone (positive T). Agreement between predicted and measured ozone is assumed to indicate that the photochemical param-
eters do a good job of explaining the observed trends. Note that the initial ozone chemical tendency (P_{O3} - L_{O3}[O_3]_x) does not appear in equations (18) and (19), but that the rate of approach to the steady state value depends on how far the initial concentration is from steady state ([O_3]_o - [O_3]_x) and the pseudo-first-order loss rate (L_{O3}) which appears in the exponential term. Of course, in the absence of significant contribution by transport, the rate of change of the ozone concentration is the ozone chemical tendency, but even with no change in values of P_{O3} and L_{O3}, the rate decreases as the concentration approaches the steady state value. The calculated average transport (or other photochemical process) required to balance the ozone budget in each of the altitude, deployment and latitude bins are shown in Figure 6, panels (e), along with grand averages for the entire TOPSE campaign at each altitude for the two latitude bands (panel (f)). These show strong export of ozone from the MLB at 1−4 km of 2−3 ppbv/day. There is need, on the average, for a small import of ozone to HLB of 0.1−0.4 ppbv/day, much of which likely comes from the MLB, a region of net production, but transport from the stratosphere or upper troposphere (at altitudes above the observations) cannot be excluded. Due to a number of assumptions made in deriving these transport rates, their absolute values are very uncertain, estimated to be greater than a factor of two. These calculated transport rates compare to average P_{O3} values of 6−8 ppbv/day (MLB) and 0.7−0.9 ppbv/day (HLB). A detailed trajectory analysis or a chemical transport model is required to fully evaluate the role of transport in the ozone budgets of the TOPSE study region, but it does appear that lifetimes are long enough that meridional movement of air with positive ozone productivity or excess ozone could explain the observations.

5. Photostationary State

[63] The recognition of the coupling between the NO_x and \HOxRO_x families has been exploited in a number of observational and theoretical studies [e.g., Kelly et al., 1980; Calvert and Stockwell, 1983; Parrish et al., 1986; Ridley et al., 1992; Hauglustaine et al., 1996; Cantrell et al., 1997b; Carpenter et al., 1998]. In this approach, the rapid reactions (14) through (16) are presumed to set up a ratio between \HOxRO_x and NO (NO is in steady state) and the pseudo-first-order loss rate (L_{O3}) which appears in the exponential term. Of course, in the absence of significant contribution by transport, the rate of change of the ozone concentration is the ozone chemical tendency, but even with no change in values of P_{O3} and L_{O3}, the rate decreases as the concentration approaches the steady state value. The calculated average transport (or other photochemical process) required to balance the ozone budget in each of the altitude, deployment and latitude bins are shown in Figure 6, panels (e), along with grand averages for the entire TOPSE campaign at each altitude for the two latitude bands (panel (f)). These show strong export of ozone from the MLB at 1−4 km of 2−3 ppbv/day. There is need, on the average, for a small import of ozone to HLB of 0.1−0.4 ppbv/day, much of which likely comes from the MLB, a region of net production, but transport from the stratosphere or upper troposphere (at altitudes above the observations) cannot be excluded. Due to a number of assumptions made in deriving these transport rates, their absolute values are very uncertain, estimated to be greater than a factor of two. These calculated transport rates compare to average P_{O3} values of 6−8 ppbv/day (MLB) and 0.7−0.9 ppbv/day (HLB). A detailed trajectory analysis or a chemical transport model is required to fully evaluate the role of transport in the ozone budgets of the TOPSE study region, but it does appear that lifetimes are long enough that meridional movement of air with positive ozone productivity or excess ozone could explain the observations.

[64] From equation (20) a photostationary state ratio, \Phi, is defined.

\[
\Phi = \frac{j_{NO_2}[NO_2]}{k_{15}[NO][O_3]} \quad (21)
\]

[65] If ozone is the only oxidant of NO to NO_2, then during daylight hours, \Phi should be unity. If other oxidants are operative (e.g., peroxy radicals), \Phi will increase proportionally, as the [NO_2]/[NO] ratio increases. This leads to an estimate of the peroxy radical level based on deviations of \Phi from unity.

\[
[\HOxRO_x]_{PSS} = (\Phi - 1)[O_3] \frac{k_{15}}{k_{8,9a}} \quad (22)
\]

[66] Here k_{8,9a} represents the weighted average rate coefficient for oxidation of NO by peroxy radicals (HO_2 and RO_2). Equations (21) and (22) can be combined to yield the peroxy radical concentration as a function of measured NO, NO_2, O_3 and j_{NO_2}.

\[
[\HOxRO_x]_{PSS} = k_{8,9a} \left( \frac{j_{NO_2}[NO_2]}{k_{15}[NO]} - [O_3] \right) \quad (23)
\]

[67] Alternatively, measured HO_xRO_x, O_3 and j_{NO_2} can be used to estimate the ratio of [NO] to [NO_2].

\[
\left( \frac{[NO]}{[NO_2]} \right)_{PSS} = \frac{j_{NO_2}}{k_{8,9a}[HOxRO_x] + k_{15}[O_3]} \quad (24)
\]

[68] Cantrell et al. [1997b] estimated uncertainties propagated into [\HOxRO_x]_{PSS} and ([NO]/[NO_2])_{PSS} due to uncertainties in the corresponding input parameters, and concluded that [\HOxRO_x]_{PSS} typically has large uncertainties (of order ±60%) while ([NO]/[NO_2])_{PSS} uncertainties are of order ±20%. [\HOxRO_x]_{PSS} and ([NO]/[NO_2])_{PSS} were calculated from the TOPSE data and the results can be summarized as follows. On the average, the PSS [\HOxRO_x]_x were higher than the measurements or the steady state values for NO concentrations less than 20 pptv, while they were in good agreement for NO concentrations greater than 20 pptv. The ratios of PSS to steady state and measured [\HOxRO_x]_x were calculated from averages binned by NO concentration. The average PSS to steady state \HOxRO_x ratio for NO concentration bins from 20 to 125 pptv is 0.79 (σ = 0.28) using DeMore et al. [1997] rate coefficients for reaction (15) and weighted average values for reactions (8) and (9a); the average PSS to measured \HOxRO_x ratio is 0.89 (σ = 0.38). Using revised rate coefficients by Sander et al. [2000], the PSS to steady state ratio becomes 0.99 (σ = 0.36), and the PSS to measured is 1.12 (σ = 0.50). For NO concentrations less than 20 pptv, PSS estimated \HOxRO_x is systematically higher than those derived from steady state or the measurements (PSS to steady state ratio is 6.1 (σ = 6.3), and PSS to measured ratio is 6.9 (σ = 7.3) using revised rate coefficients). Since the steady state and measured \HOxRO_x are in good agreement on the average for these low NO conditions, the differences point to errors in PSS-derived values.

[69] One explanation for these PSS-steady state and PSS-measurement differences could be that measured [NO]/[NO_2] ratios are systematically low at the low NO concentrations. This can be checked by comparing PSS-derived (from equation (24) and measured [NO]/[NO_2]). The average ratio of ([NO]/[NO_2])_{PSS} to ([NO]/[NO_2])_{measured} is near unity (1.05, σ = 0.24) at all [NO] concentrations less than 250 pptv (for bins which have a significant number of points), when NO_2 is determined by chemiluminescence (CL) [Ridley et al., 2003]. When NO_2 is measured by laser induced fluorescence (LIF) [Thornton et al., 2000], there are
systematic differences that increase below an NO concentration of about 40 pptv. The average PSS to measured ratio using LIF for NO concentrations between 40 and 250 pptv is 1.35 ($\sigma = 0.46$). For TOPSE, the LIF NO$_2$ measurements possibly are biased by a small amount and thus appear to be subject to greater uncertainty than the CL results.

[70] The cause of overestimated HO$_x$RO$_x$ by PSS at low NO concentrations appears not to be the NO and NO$_2$ measurements (when CL results are used), nor to be completely related to rate coefficient uncertainties. Thus the photolysis rate coefficient for NO$_2$, the O$_3$ concentration, and possible unknown chemistry remain as explanations. The ratio of PSS to steady state HO$_x$RO$_x$ is lowered by reductions in jNO$_2$ or increases in O$_3$. If measured jNO$_2$ values are scaled by a factor of 0.9, which brings the PSS to steady ratio nearly in line for NO concentrations less than 20 pptv, the PSS to steady state HO$_x$RO$_x$ ratio is reduced to 0.06 for NO concentrations greater than 20 pptv; if measured O$_3$ values are scaled by 1.1 (to approximately bring the PSS to steady state ratios to near unity for NO concentrations less than 20 pptv), the ratio is reduced to 0.14 for the higher NO concentrations. The improvement seen by these scalings in the lowest NO concentration bins is offset by overcorrection in the higher NO concentration bins. The cause of the differences between PSS estimates of HO$_x$RO$_x$ and the measurements and steady state calculations at lower NO concentrations remains unknown at this time.

[71] The presence of additional oxidants of NO in the atmosphere is possible and has been postulated to explain the difference between PSS and observations. For example, halogen oxides (ClO, BrO and IO) react with NO to produce NO$_2$. The average calculated concentrations of halogen oxides are low, averaging 0 ($\sigma = 4-5$) pptv, although it is known that halogen chemistry can be very important in the Arctic boundary layer [Ridley et al., 2003]. McElroy et al. [1999] have suggested that BrO levels of 10 pptv throughout the free troposphere (1 to 5 km) are consistent with spectral measurements in the Arctic. The TOPSE measurements on the average, do not support this contention. Within the uncertainties of the observations and kinetic parameters that are involved in controlling NO/NO$_2$, the data do not completely rule out the presence of a small concentration (2–5 pptv) of halogen oxides throughout the troposphere.

[72] Comparison of the PSS derived quantities (e.g., HO$_x$RO$_x$ and NO/NO$_2$) and measurements provides important tests of some aspects of tropospheric photochemistry, and should continue to be applied to tropospheric observational studies, while recognizing the uncertainties necessarily associated with the PSS approach.

6. Summary

[73] Peroxy radicals and many other species were measured over the winter-to-spring transition from middle to high latitudes in the year 2000 during the TOPSE campaign. This is the most complete observational data set collected to date over this geographical and temporal domain, and can serve as a powerful test of our understanding of tropospheric free radical chemistry. A steady state model that was constrained by the measured species generally reproduces the measured concentrations of HO$_x$RO$_x$ well. Radical budgets reveal differences compared to “conventional” ideas about radical sources, most notably that the reaction of O(1D) with water vapor is not as important as in the low and midlatitude lower troposphere. The photolysis of formaldehyde is a very important source of HO$_x$RO$_x$ for this study. Members of the HO$_x$RO$_x$ family are formed through a variety of radical conversion processes that set up fairly robust concentration ratios. In some cases, the ratios can be explained by only a few reactions.

[74] Examination of radical reservoir species reveals some inconsistencies between measurements and steady state model results, particularly in the case of the peroxydes. In the past, significant disagreements between measured and modeled formaldehyde were noted, but on the average there is satisfactory agreement in this study with some exceptions observed at very low temperatures ($<$$-45^\circ$C). The measured peroxyde concentrations are consistently and significantly less than those inferred from the steady state model as found in some previous studies for certain altitudes. Heterogeneous processes have been postulated in the literature to explain these differences, but lifetime considerations presented here indicate that there could be problems in our understanding of gas-phase processes. This is certainly an important area to gain quantitative understanding, as hydrogen peroxide is an important oxidant for SO$_2$ in the aqueous phase, as well as an important indicator of our understanding of tropospheric HO$_x$ chemistry. The roles of peroxyxenate species (HO$_2$NO$_2$ and CH$_3$O$_2$NO$_2$) were examined. Their abundance is calculated to be significant when temperatures are cold ($<$$-30^\circ$), and can impact the HO$_x$RO$_x$ and NO$_x$ budgets. For the TOPSE conditions, though, PAN dominates the NO$_x$ budget and the abundance of thermally labile peroxy nitrates. More laboratory work particularly on the photochemical parameters of CH$_3$O$_2$NO$_2$ is needed.

[75] The connection between the peroxy radicals and the photochemical budget of ozone was examined. The net rate of photochemical ozone formation increased through the study period, and is consistent with the observed rate of ozone increase. A simple equation was used to project forward the ozone concentration between deployments. Differences indicate the relative importance of photochemistry and transport on the ozone amounts. The seasonal trends in ozone can be explained by a combination of photochemical production in middle latitudes and transport to high latitudes.

[76] The photostationary state deviations were compared to measurements of HO$_x$RO$_x$ and the [NO]/[NO$_2$] ratio. These comparisons indicate that PSS estimates of HO$_x$RO$_x$ are consistent with measurements at NO concentrations greater than about 20 pptv, but PSS calculated values are systematically higher at lower NO concentrations. The reasons for these differences are not known. PSS estimates of [NO]/[NO$_2$] are in good agreement with CL NO$_x$ measurements. The concentrations of halogen oxides are constrained to low values (less than a few pptv) by this agreement. PSS remains a powerful test of our understanding of the coupling of NO$_x$, HO$_x$ and O$_3$ families in the troposphere, although its utility in calculating HO$_x$RO$_x$ concentrations is limited due to the associated uncertainties.
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