

Volume 82 Number 10 10 July 2002 ISSN 1364-2812
Philosophical
Magazine
First Published in 1798
Physics of Condensed Matter: Statistical Mechanics, Electronic, Optical and Magnetic Properties
A TRONG
Taylor & Francis

## Philosophical Magazine B

ISSN: 1364-2812 (Print) 1463-6417 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tphb20

# Generalized compressibility in a glass-forming liquid

Hervé M. Carruzzo & Clare C. Yu

To cite this article: Hervé M. Carruzzo & Clare C. Yu (2002) Generalized compressibility in a glass-forming liquid, Philosophical Magazine B, 82:2, 125-132, DOI: 10.1080/13642810208208535

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/13642810208208535

-

Published online: 04 Aug 2009.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 8



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles 🕑

PHILOSOPHICAL MAGAZINE B, 2002, VOL. 82, NO. 2, 125-132



### Generalized compressibility in a glass-forming liquid

#### HERVÉ M. CARRUZZO and CLARE C. YU†

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, USA

#### Abstract

We introduce a new quantity to probe the glass transition. This quantity is a linear generalized compressibility which depends solely on the positions of the particles. We have performed a molecular dynamics simulation on a glass-forming liquid consisting of a two-component mixture of soft spheres in three dimensions. As the temperature is lowered, the generalized compressibility drops sharply at the glass transition. Our results are consistent with the kinetic view of the glass transition, but not with an underlying second-order phase transition.

The glass transition is still not well understood despite extensive study. Experimentally the glass transition occurs when the relaxation time exceeds the measurement time and particle motion appears to be arrested. It is characterized by both kinetic and thermodynamic features. In the supercooled liquid, kinetic quantities such as the viscosity and relaxation time grow rapidly as the temperature is lowered. The glass transition is also reflected in thermodynamic quantities, for example the specific heat at constant pressure has a step-like form and the dielectric constant has a peak at a frequency dependent temperature.

Theoretically there have been two main approaches to the problem (Ediger et al. 1996, Fourkas et al. 1997): dynamic and thermodynamic. The first category has been dominated by mode-coupling theory (MCT) in which ideally the relaxation time diverges at a temperature  $T_{\rm C}$  above the experimental glass transition (Götze and Sjögren 1992). The kinetic view has produced interesting and fruitful concepts such as the influence of the energy landscape on relaxation processes (Goldstein 1969, Sastry et al. 1998) and dynamic inhomogeneities (Donati et al. 1998, Yamamoto and Onuki 1997, Tracht et al. 1998). The thermodynamic viewpoint attributes the glass transition to an underlying phase transition hidden from direct experimental observation by extremely long relaxation times (Gibbs and DiMarzio 1958, Adam and Gibbs 1965, Ediger et al. 1996, Fourkas et al. 1997, Mézard and Parisi 1999). In most scenarios there is an underlying second-order phase transition associated with a growing correlation length which produces diverging relaxation times as well as diverging static susceptiblities (Kirkpatrick et al. 1989, Dasgupta et al. 1991, Ernst et al. 1991, Sethna et al. 1991, Kivelson et al. 1995, Menon and Nagel 1995). More recently, Mézard and Parisi (1999) have argued that the underlying transition is actually a random first-order transition signalled by a discontinuity in the specific heat.

<sup>†</sup>Email: cyu@uci.edu

Philosophical Magazine B ISSN 1364–2812 print/ISSN 1463–6417 online © 2002 Taylor & Francis Ltd http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals DOI: 10.1080/13642810110084894

In an effort to characterize the glass transition better, we introduce a novel probe which we call a generalized compressibility (Yvon 1958). Unlike the specific heat which monitors energy fluctuations, this linear compressibility is a function of the microscopic structure of the system; it depends solely on the positions of the particles and not on their previous history. It is a thermodynamic quantity in the sense that it is purely a function of the microstate of the system dictated by its location in phase space. It is easy to compute numerically, and it is simpler than the dielectric constant which involves both the translation and the orientation of electric dipoles. By performing a molecular dynamics simulation of a two component system of soft spheres, we find that the linear generalized compressibility drops sharply as the temperature decreases below the glass transition temperature  $T_g$ . The drop becomes more and more abrupt as the measurement time increases.

We now derive expressions for the linear and nonlinear generalized compressibilities. To probe the density fluctuations, we follow the approach of linear response theory and consider applying an external potential  $(\Delta P/\rho_0)\phi(\mathbf{r})$  which couples to the local density  $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$  where  $\mathbf{r}_i$  denotes the position of the *i*th particle.  $\rho_0$  is the average density.  $\Delta P$  has units of pressure and sets the magnitude of the perturbation.  $\phi(\mathbf{r})$  is a dimensionless function of position that must be compatible with the periodic boundary conditions imposed on the system, that is, it must be continuous across the boundaries but is otherwise arbitrary. This adds to the Hamiltonian H of the system a term

$$U = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho_0} \int_{V} \mathrm{d}^3 r \,\phi(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho_0} \sum_{i} \phi(\mathbf{r}_i) \equiv \frac{\Delta P}{\rho_0} \rho_{\phi},\tag{1}$$

where we have defined  $\rho_{\phi} = \int_{V} d^{3}r \,\phi(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} \phi(\mathbf{r}_{i})$ .  $\rho_{\phi}$  is the inner product of  $\phi$ and  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ , and we can regard it as a projection of the density on to a basis function  $\phi(r)$ , that is  $\rho_{\phi} = \langle \rho | \phi \rangle$ . It weights the density fluctuations according to their spatial position. The application of the external potential will induce an average change  $\delta \rho_{\phi}$  in  $\rho_{\phi}$ :

$$\delta \rho_{\phi} = \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle_U - \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle_{U=0}, \tag{2}$$

where the thermal average  $\langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle_U$  is given by

$$\langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle_U = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp\left[-\beta(H+U)\right] \rho_{\phi} \right\}.$$
 (3)

The partition function  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr} \{\exp \left[-\beta(H+U)\right]\}$  and  $\beta$  is the inverse temperature. For small values of  $\Delta P$ , this change can be calculated using perturbation theory. Up to third order in  $\Delta P$ , we find that

$$\delta\rho_{\phi} = -\frac{\beta\,\Delta P}{\rho_0}\,\langle\rho_{\phi}^2\rangle_{\rm c} + \frac{\beta^2\,\Delta P^2}{2\rho_0^2}\,\langle\rho_{\phi}^3\rangle_{\rm c} - \frac{\beta^3\,\Delta P^3}{6\rho_0^3}\,\langle\rho_{\phi}^4\rangle_{\rm c},\tag{4}$$

where the cumulant averages are

$$\langle \rho_{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\rm c} = \langle \rho_{\phi}^2 \rangle - \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\langle \rho_{\phi}^{3} \rangle_{c} = \langle \rho_{\phi}^{3} \rangle - 3 \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle \langle \rho_{\phi}^{2} \rangle + 2 \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle^{3}, \tag{6}$$

$$\langle \rho_{\phi}^{4} \rangle_{c} = \langle \rho_{\phi}^{4} \rangle - 4 \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle \langle \rho_{\phi}^{3} \rangle - 3 \langle \rho_{\phi}^{2} \rangle^{2} + 12 \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle^{2} \langle \rho_{\phi}^{2} \rangle - 6 \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle^{4}, \tag{7}$$

with the thermal average  $\langle \rho_{\phi}^n \rangle = \langle \rho_{\phi}^n \rangle_{U=0}$ . The third-order cumulant (equation (6)), is zero in the liquid phase because for every configuration there exists an equivalent configuration with the opposite sign of  $\rho_{\phi} - \langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle$  and so we shall not consider this term any further. We can recast equation (4) as a power series in the perturbation  $\Delta P$ :

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\phi}}{N} = -\frac{1}{6\rho_0 k_{\rm B}T} \,\chi_{\rm l}\,\Delta P + \frac{1}{6(\rho_0 k_{\rm B}T)^3} \,\chi_{\rm nl}(\Delta P)^3,\tag{8}$$

where

$$\chi_{\rm l} = \frac{6}{N} \langle (\rho_{\phi})^2 \rangle_{\rm c} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\rm nl} = -\frac{1}{N} \langle (\rho_{\phi})^4 \rangle_{\rm c},$$
(9)

where  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann's constant. In the remainder of this paper we shall focus our attention on the linear  $(\chi_1)$  and nonlinear  $(\chi_{\rm nl})$  dimensionless generalized compressibilities defined by the above expressions. We now discuss the choice of the function  $\phi$ . We consider applying the potential along the direction  $\mu$  of one of the coordinate axes so that  $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi(r^{\mu})$ . A natural candidate for  $\phi(r^{\mu})$  is  $\cos(k_{\mu}r^{\mu})$  with  $k = 2\pi n/L$ , where n = 1, 2, ... and  $L^3$  is the volume V. In this case,  $\rho_{\phi}$  is the kth mode of the cosine transform of the density. However, it is sufficient to consider the simpler function  $\phi(r^{\mu}) = |r^{\mu}|/L$ . The absolute value means that all the particles feel a force along the  $\mu$ th direction pointing towards the origin. The results are very similar to  $\phi(r^{\mu}) = \cos(k_{\mu}r^{\mu})$  for small k at a fraction of the computational cost. So our results in this paper correspond to  $\rho_{\phi} = \sum_{i} |r_{i}^{\mu}|/L$ . This is rather like a centre of mass. Since the system is isotropic, we average over the direction  $\mu$ .

We have performed a molecular dynamics simulation on a glass-forming liquid (Weber and Stillinger 1985, Kob and Andersen 1994) consisting of a 50:50 binary mixture of soft spheres in three dimensions. The two types of sphere, labelled A and B, differ only in their sizes. The interaction between two particles a distance rapart is given by  $V_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \epsilon[(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}/r)^{12} + X_{\alpha\beta}(r)]$  where the interaction length  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = (\sigma_{\alpha} + \sigma_{\beta})/2$ ,  $\sigma_{B}/\sigma_{A} = 1.4$  ( $\alpha, \beta = A, B$ ). For numerical efficiency, we set the cut-off function  $X_{\alpha\beta}(r) = r/\sigma_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda$  with  $\lambda = 13/12^{12/13}$ . The interaction is cut off at the minimum of the potential  $V_{\alpha\beta}(r)$ . Energy and length are measured in units of  $\epsilon$ and  $\sigma_{A}$ , respectively. Temperature is given in units of  $\epsilon/k_{B}$ , and time is in units of  $\sigma_{A}(m/\epsilon)^{1/2}$ , where *m*, the mass of a particle, is set to unity. The equations of motion were integrated using the leapfrog method (Rapaport 1995) with a time step of 0.005. During each run the temperature was kept constant using a constraint algorithm (Rapaport 1995).  $N = N_{A} + N_{B}$  is the total number of particles. The system occupies a cube with dimensions ( $\pm L/2, \pm L/2, \pm L/2$ ) and periodic boundary conditions. Since N and L are fixed in any given run, the density  $\rho_{0} = N/L^{3}$  is also fixed.

As a point of reference we determined the mode coupling  $T_{\rm C}$  by fitting the data for the relaxation time  $\tau(T)$  which is defined as the time when the self part of the intermediate scattering function  $F_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, t)$  falls to 1/e (Kob and Andersen 1994)

$$F_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{k},t) = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{k} \cdot [\mathbf{r}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{i}(0)] \right\} \right\rangle, \tag{10}$$

where the subscript  $\alpha$  refers to the particle type, A or B. We choose B particles.  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  is the position of particle *i* at time *t*, and  $\langle \ldots \rangle$  refers to an average over different

configurations. The wave-vector  $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi \mathbf{q}/L$  where  $\mathbf{q}$  is a vector of integers. For an isotropic system  $F_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, t)$  depends on only the magnitude  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ . We choose  $k = k_{\max} = 2\pi q_{\max}/L$  where  $k_{\max}$  is the position of the first maximum of the partial static structure factor S(k). For B type particles we use  $q_{\max} = 8.3666$ . We fit the ideal MCT form (Götze and Sjögren 1992)  $\tau(T) = A'(T - T_C)^{-\gamma}$  with A' = 69,  $\gamma = 1.6$ ,  $T_C = 0.306 \pm 0.005$ . In finding  $T_C$ , we used data from seven temperatures in the range  $0.33 < T \le 0.39$  below the caging temperature ( $T_{\text{cage}} = 0.4$ ) where the intermediate scattering function first begins to show a plateau. Our fit is consistent with the mode coupling theory requirement that  $\gamma \ge 1.6$ .

Our procedure for doing runs is as follows. We start each run at a high temperature (T = 1.5) and lower the temperature in steps of  $\Delta T = 0.05$ . At each temperature we equilibrate for 10<sup>4</sup> molecular dynamics (MD) steps and then measure the quantities of interest for  $N_{\tau}$  additional steps where  $N_{\tau} = 10^5$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $10^6$ ,  $3 \times 10^6$  or  $10^7$ . All the particles move at each MD step. The results are then averaged over up to 40 different initial conditions (different initial positions and velocities of the spheres).

As a check on our procedure for measuring  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_{nl}$ , we consider first the case of the crystal. To this end, we consider a system of 512 identical ( $\sigma_A = \sigma_B$ ) particles at a density  $\rho_0 = 1.1$ . Figure 1 shows the linear compressibility and the diffusion constant as functions of temperature for the single-component liquid. At high temperatures,  $\chi_1$  has a small slope which becomes steeper at low temperatures. The salient feature is the very sharp drop at around T = 0.57 of the linear generalized compressibility and the diffusion constant. The specific heat, which is not shown, has a sharp delta-function-like peak around T = 0.57. The low-temperature phase



Figure 1. Linear generalized compressibility and diffusion constant of a one-component system as a function of temperature for 512 soft spheres. Crystallization is clearly seen around T = 0.57 ( $\rho_0 = 1.1$ ). The measurement time was 10<sup>6</sup> MD steps for each temperature. The compressibility was averaged over five runs while the diffusion is shown for a single run.

(T < 0.57) is a crystal with sharp Bragg peaks in the structure factor. Upon heating and cooling, the transition shows hysteresis. All these observations are consistent with the fact that crystallization is a first-order transition. Not shown here is the nonlinear compressibility which is zero within our numerical error.

We now examine the response of the two-component glass-forming liquid. All the runs were performed at a density  $\rho_0 = 0.6$  and  $\sigma_B/\sigma_A = 1.4$ . For these parameters crystallization is avoided upon cooling. Figure 2 shows the linear generalized compressibility as a function of temperature for different run times. The compressibility at high temperatures is independent of T and about an order of magnitude larger than that of the single-component fluid. In the vicinity of the glass transition,  $\chi_1$  drops. Note that, as the measuring time  $t_M$  increases, the temperature of the drop decreases and becomes more abrupt. The linear compressibility is proportional to the width of the distribution of  $\rho_{\phi}$ . If we regard  $\rho_{\phi}$  as a generalized centre of mass, then the drop in  $\chi_1$  corresponds to the sudden narrowing of the distribution  $P(\rho_{\phi})$  and the sudden arrest in the fluctuations of  $\rho_{\phi}$ . This behaviour can be quantified using a scaling Ansatz:  $\chi_1(t_M, T) = g(\mu = t_M/\tau(T))$ , where the characteristic time has the Vogel-Fulcher form  $\tau(T) = \exp \left[ A/(T - T_0) \right]$ . The inset of figure 2 shows that the data collapse on to a single curve with A = 0.75 and  $T_0 = 0.15$ . (The data could not be fitted using  $\tau(T) = \tau_{MCT}(T) = A'(T - T_C)^{\gamma}$  as suggested by simple MCTs (Götze and Sjögren 1992).) This value of  $T_0$  lies below the mode coupling  $T_C = 0.306$ , the upper bound of the glass transition temperature. Note in figure 2 that the drop associated with  $10^7$  MD steps occurs approximately at the mode coupling



Figure 2. Linear generalized compressibility as a function of temperature for different measurement times  $t_{\rm M}$ : ( $\triangle$ ), 10<sup>5</sup> MD steps (40 runs); ( $\bigcirc$ ), 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> MD steps (32 runs); ( $\square$ ), 10<sup>6</sup> MD steps (10 runs); ( $\diamondsuit$ ), 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> MD steps (6 runs); (\*), 10<sup>7</sup> MD steps (4 runs). The system size is 512 particles.  $\rho_0 = 0.6$  and  $\sigma_{\rm B}/\sigma_{\rm A} = 1.4$ . The inset shows a  $T > T_0$  subset of the same data scaled as described in text.

temperature  $T_{\rm C}$ , indicating that our long runs were in equilibrium down to the mode coupling transition temperature. This scaling suggests that  $\chi_1$  becomes a step function for infinite  $t_{\rm M}$  and that the drop in the compressibility would become a discontinuity at infinitely long times. This is consistent with a sudden arrest of the motion of the particles in the liquid which is the kinetic view of the glass transition. The abrupt drop also appears to be in agreement with the proposal by Mézard and Parisi (1999) that the glass transition is a first-order phase transition. However, the drop indicates that our simulations are falling out of equilibrium and therefore we cannot really tell whether there is a true thermodynamic transition.

As an independent check of the glass transition temperature, we have calculated the specific heat, which is shown in figure 3. Note that the temperature of the peak in the specific heat agrees with the temperature at which  $\chi_1$  drops.

The behaviour seen in figure 2 is similar to that seen in measurements of the real part of the frequency-dependent dielectric function  $\varepsilon'(\omega)$  (Menon and Nagel 1995). In that case, as the frequency decreased, the temperature of the peak in  $\varepsilon'(\omega)$  decreased and the drop in  $\varepsilon'(\omega)$  below the peak became more abrupt. By extrapolating their data to  $\omega = 0$ , Menon and Nagel (1995) argued that  $\varepsilon'(\omega = 0)$  should diverge at the glass transition, signalling a second-order phase transition. We have looked for evidence of this divergence by examining samples of different sizes to see whether the linear generalized compressibility increased systematically with increasing system size. As shown in figure 4 we find no size dependence and no indication of a diverging linear generalized compressibility. We also find no size dependence for the specific heat and hence, no evidence of a diverging specific heat (not shown). This is corroborated by recent MCT calculations of a molten salt which find that  $\varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$  goes to a finite value as the glass transition is approached (Wilke *et al.* 1999).



Figure 3. Specific heat  $C_V$  at constant volume as a function of temperature calculated using energy fluctuations. All parameters are the same as in figure 2.



Figure 4. Linear compressibility as a function of temperature for different system sizes:
(○), 216 particles (5 runs); (□), 512 particles (10 runs); (◊), 1000 particles (5 runs). The measurement time was 10<sup>6</sup> MD steps in all cases. The inset shows the linear generalized compressibility as a function of temperature for system of 512 particles upon cooling and heating. The measurement time was 10<sup>6</sup> MD steps in both cases. The data were averaged over ten runs. Other parameters for the main figure and the inset are the same as in figure 2.

We have found hysteresis at the glass transition by first cooling a system of 512 particles to our lowest temperature T = 0.1 and then heating in steps of  $\Delta T = 0.05$ . As before, we equilibrate at each temperature for  $10^4$  time steps and then measure quantities for an additional  $10^6$  time steps. Our results are shown in the inset of figure 4. Note the slight hysteresis with the rise in  $\chi_1$  upon warming being at a slightly higher temperature than the drop in  $\chi_1$  upon cooling. This hysteresis is consistent with the kinetic arrest of motion.

We now turn to the case of the nonlinear generalized compressibility  $\chi_{nl}$  given by equation (9). We are motivated by the case of spin glasses where the nonlinear magnetic compressibility diverges at the spin-glass transition while the linear compressibility only has a cusp (Bhatt and Young 1988, Levy and Ogielski 1986). There have been a few studies of nonlinear response functions in real glasses (Dasgupta *et al.* 1991, Wu 1991), but these have not found any divergences. Our results are consistent with this conclusion. In particular we find that the nonlinear generalized compressibility is zero above and below the glass transition temperature, although it does show a glitch at the glass transition. There is no systematic increase with system size, indicating the absence of a divergence. Because  $\chi_{nl}$  is sensitive to the tails of the distribution of  $\rho_{\phi}$ , one must be careful to obtain a good ensemble average in the liquid above the glass transition temperature. We have done this by carrying out 32 runs, each involving 200 000 time steps, with different initial conditions, stringing them together as though they were one long run and then taking the appropriate averages. This produces a better ensemble average of  $\langle \rho_{\phi}^2 \rangle^2$  which enters into  $\chi_{nl}$  in equation (6).  $\chi_{nl}$  also took longer to equilibrate than  $\chi_{l}$ . A plot  $\chi_{nl}$  versus run time shows that one needs to run at least 10<sup>6</sup> time steps before  $\chi_{nl}$  appeared to saturate.

To summarize, we have introduced a new thermodynamic quantity which depends solely on the positions of the particles and not on their histories. This quantity drops abruptly at the glass transition which is compatible with a kinetic arrest of motion, but not with an underlying second-order phase transition. This generalized compressibility can be measured experimentally. It can be directly measured in colloidal experiments which monitor the positions of the particles (Weeks *et al.* 2000). Measurements of the width of the distribution of  $\rho_q$ , the spatial Fourier transform of the density, would also give the linear generalized compressibility.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Andrea Liu and Sharon Glotzer for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by Collaborative UC/Los Alamos Research (CULAR) funds provided by the University of California for the conduct of discretionary research by Los Alamos National Laboratory.

#### References

- ADAM, G., and GIBBS, J. H., 1965, J. chem. Phys., 43, 139.
- BHATT, R. N., and YOUNG, A. P., 1988, Phys. Rev. B, 37, 5606.
- DASGUPTA, C., INDRANI, A. V., RAMASWAMY, S., and PHANI, M. K., 1991, *Europhys. Lett.*, 15, 307.
- DONATI, C., DOUGLAS, J. F., KOB, W., PLIMPTON, S. J., POOLE, P. H., and GLOTZER, S. C., 1998, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **80**, 2338.
- EDIGER, M. D., ANGELL, C. A., and NAGEL, S. R., 1996, J. phys. Chem, 100, 13200, and references therein.
- ERNST, R. M., NAGEL, S. R., and GREST, G. S., 1991, Phys. Rev. B, 43, 8070.
- FOURKAS, J. T., KIVELSON, D., MOHANTY, U., and NELSON, K. A., 1997, Supercooled Liquids: Advances and Novel Applications. (Washington, DC: American Chemical Society).
- GIBBS, J. H., and DIMARZIO, E. A., 1958, J. chem. Phys., 28, 373.
- GOLDSTEIN, M., 1969, J. chem. Phys., 51, 3728.
- GÖTZE, W., and SJÖGREN, L., 1992, Rep. Prog. Phys., 55, 241.
- KIRKPATRICK, T. R., THIRUMALAI, D., and WOLYNES, P. G., 1989, Phys. Rev. A, 40, 1045.
- KIVELSON, D., KIVELSON, S. A., ZHAO, X., NUSSINOV, Z., and OTHERS., 1995, *Physica* A, **219**, 27–38.
- KOB, W., and ANDERSEN, H. C., 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 1376.
- LEVY, L. P., and OGIELSKI, A. T., 1986, Phys. Rev. Lett., 57, 3288.
- MENON, N., and NAGEL, S. R., 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 1230.
- Mézard, M., and Parisi, G., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 82, 747.
- RAPAPORT, D. C., 1995, The Art of Molecular Dynamics Simulation (Cambridge University Press).
- SASTRY, S., DEBENEDETTI, P. G., and STILLINGER, F. H., 1998, Nature, 393, 554.
- SETHNA, J. P., SHORE, J. D., and HUANG, M., 1991, Phys. Rev. B, 44, 4943.
- TRACHT, U., WILHELM, M., HEUER, A., SCHMIDT-ROHR, K., and SPEISS, H. W., 1998, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81**, 2727.
- WEBER, T. A., and STILLINGER, F. H., 1985, Phys. Rev. B, 31, 1954.
- WEEKS, E. R., CROCKER, J. C., LEVITT, A. C., SCHOFIELD, A., and WEITZ, D. A., 2000, Science, 287, 627.
- WILKE, S. D., CHEN, H. C., and Bosse, J., 1999, Phys. Rev. B, 60, 3136.
- WU, L., 1991, Phys. Rev. B, 43, 9906.
- YAMAMOTO, R., and ONUKI, A., 1997, J. phys. Soc. (Japan), 66, 2545.
- YVON, J., 1958, Nuovo Cim. Suppl., 9, 144.